arXiv:1601.02509v1 [math.FA] 7 Jan 2016

UNIFIED MULTI-TUPLED FIXED POINT THEOREMS INVOLVING MIXED MONOTONE PROPERTY IN ORDERED METRIC SPACES

AFTAB ALAM, MOHAMMAD IMDAD AND JAVID ALI*

Department of Mathematics
Aligarh Muslim University
Aligarh-202002, India.
Email addresses: aafu.amu@gmail.com, mhimdad@gmail.com, javid@amu.ac.in

Abstract. In the present article, we introduce a unified notion of multi-tupled fixed points and utilize the same to prove some existence and uniqueness unified multi-tupled fixed point theorems for Boyd-Wong type nonlinear contractions satisfying generalized mixed monotone property in ordered metric spaces. Our results unify several classical and well-known n-tupled (including coupled, tripled and quadrupled ones) fixed point results existing in the literature.

Keywords: $*$-fixed points; O-continuity; $*$-compatible pair; *MCB* property.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In our entire presentation, we use throughout the following symbols and notations.

(1) $\mathbb{N}_0$ stands for the set of nonnegative integers (*i.e.* $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$).
(2) $m, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.
(3) n stands for a fixed natural number greater than 1.
(4) I_n denotes the set $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and we use $i, j, k \in I_n$.
(5) ι_n denotes a fixed nontrivial partition $\{A, B\}$ of I_n (*i.e.* $\iota_n := \{A, B\}$, where A and B are nonempty subsets of I_n such that $A \cup B = I_n$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$).
(6) As usual, for a nonempty set X, X^n denotes the cartesian product of n identical copies of X, *i.e.*, $X^n := X \times X \times \overset{(n)}{\cdots} \times X$. We often call X^n as the n-dimensional product set induced by X.
(7) A sequence in X is denoted by $\{x^{(m)}\}$ and a sequence in X^n is denoted by $\{\mathrm{U}^{(m)}\}$ where $\mathrm{U}^{(m)} = (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}, ..., x_n^{(m)})$ such that for each $i \in I_n$, $\{x_i^{(m)}\}$ is a sequence in X.

Naturally, given a mapping $f : X \to X$, an element $x \in X$ satisfying $f(x) = x$ is called a fixed point of f. Presic [1, 2] extends the notion of fixed points for the mapping $F : X^n \to X$ as follows:

*Correspondence: javid@amu.ac.in.

Definition 1 [1, 2]. Let X be a nonempty set and $F : X^n \to X$ a mapping. An element $x \in X$ is called a fixed point of F if

$$F(x, x, ..., x) = x.$$

In 1975, particularly for $n = 2$, Opoitsev [3, 4] initiated a weaker notion of fixed point, which satisfies $F(x, y) = x$ and $F(y, x) = y$ instead of $F(x, x) = x$ and hence for $y = x$, this reduces to Definition 1. Using this notion, Opoitsev and Khurodze [5] proved some results for nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces. Unknowingly, in 1987, Guo and Lakshmikantham [6] reconsidered this concept for mixed monotone operators defined on a real Banach space equipped with a partial ordering by a cone besides calling this notion as coupled fixed point.

Definition 2 [3]-[6]. Let X be a nonempty set and $F : X^2 \to X$ a mapping. An element $(x, y) \in X$ is called a coupled fixed point of F if

$$F(x, y) = x \text{ and } F(y, x) = y.$$

Inspired by the results of Guo and Lakshmikantham [6], several authors (*e.g.* [7]-[15]) studied and developed the theory of coupled fixed points for mixed monotone operators in the context of ordered Banach spaces.

Recall that a set X together with a partial order $\preceq$ (often denoted by $(X, \preceq)$) is called an ordered set. In this context, $\succeq$ denotes the dual order of $\preceq$ (*i.e.* $x \succeq y$ means $y \preceq x$). Two elements x and y in an ordered set $(X, \preceq)$ are said to be comparable if either $x \preceq y$ or $y \preceq x$ and denote it as $x \prec\succ y$. In respect of a pair of self-mappings f and g defined on an ordered set $(X, \preceq)$, we say that f is g-increasing (resp. g-decreasing) if for any $x, y \in X$; $g(x) \preceq g(y)$ implies $f(x) \preceq f(y)$(resp. f(x) $\succeq$ f(y)). As per standard practice, f is called g-monotone if f is either g-increasing or g-decreasing. Notice that with $g = I$ (the identity mapping), the notions of g-increasing, g-decreasing and g-monotone mappings transform into increasing, decreasing and monotone mappings respectively.

In 2006, Bhasker and Lakshmikantham [16] extended the idea of monotonicity for the mapping $F : X^2 \to X$ by introducing the notion of mixed monotone property in ordered metric spaces and obtained some coupled fixed point theorems for linear contractions satisfying mixed monotone property with application in existence and uniqueness of a solution of periodic boundary value problems. Although, some variants of such results were earlier reported in 2001 by Zhang [17].

Definition 3 [16]. Let $(X, \preceq)$ be an ordered set and $F : X^2 \to X$ a mapping. We say that F has mixed monotone property if F is increasing in its first argument and is

decreasing in its second argument, *i.e.*, for any $x, y \in X$,

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \preceq x_2 \Rightarrow F(x_1, y) \preceq F(x_2, y),$$

$$y_1, y_2 \in X, y_1 \preceq y_2 \Rightarrow F(x, y_1) \succeq F(x, y_2).$$

Later, Lakshmikantham and Ćirić [18] generalized the notions of coupled fixed point and mixed monotone property for a pair of mappings, which runs as follows:

Definition 4 [18]. Let X be a nonempty set and $F : X^2 \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings. An element $(x, y) \in X$ is called a coupled coincidence point of F and g if

$$F(x, y) = g(x) \text{ and } F(y, x) = g(y).$$

Definition 5 [18]. Let $(X, \preceq)$ be an ordered set and $F : X^2 \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings. We say that F has mixed g-monotone property if F is g-increasing in its first argument and is g-decreasing in its second argument, *i.e.*, for any $x, y \in X$,

$$x_1, x_2 \in X, g(x_1) \preceq g(x_2) \Rightarrow F(x_1, y) \preceq F(x_2, y),$$

$$y_1, y_2 \in X, g(y_1) \preceq g(y_2) \Rightarrow F(x, y_1) \succeq F(x, y_2).$$

Notice that under the restriction $g = I$, the identity mapping on X, Definitions 4 and 5 reduce to Definitions 2 and 3 respectively.

As a continuation of this trends, various authors extended the notion of coupled fixed (coincidence) point and mixed monotone (g-monotone) property for the mapping $F : X^n \to X,\ n \geq 3$ in different ways. A natural extension of mixed monotone property introduced by Berinde and Borcut [19] (for $n = 3$), Karapinar and Luong [20] (for $n = 4$), Imdad *et al*. [21] (for even n) and Gordji and Ramezani [22] and Ertürk and Karakaya [23, 24] (for general n) runs as follows:

Definition 6 ([19]-[23]). Let $(X, \preceq)$ be an ordered set and $F : X^n \to X$ a mapping. We say that F has alternating mixed monotone property if F is increasing in its odd position argument and is decreasing in its even position argument, *i.e.*, for any $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$,

$$\underline{x}_1, \overline{x}_1 \in X, \underline{x}_1 \preceq \overline{x}_1 \Rightarrow F(\underline{x}_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \preceq F(\overline{x}_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$$

$$\underline{x}_2, \overline{x}_2 \in X, \underline{x}_2 \preceq \overline{x}_2 \Rightarrow F(x_1, \underline{x}_2, ..., x_n) \succeq F(x_1, \overline{x}_2, ..., x_n)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\underline{x}_n, \overline{x}_n \in X, \underline{x}_n \preceq \overline{x}_n \Rightarrow \begin{cases} F(x_1, x_2, ..., \underline{x}_n) \preceq F(x_1, x_2, ..., \overline{x}_n) \text{ if } n \text{ is odd}, \\ F(x_1, x_2, ..., \underline{x}_n) \succeq F(x_1, x_2, ..., \overline{x}_n) \text{ if } n \text{ is even}. \end{cases}$$

Although, the authors in [19]-[23] used the word 'mixed monotone property', but we use 'alternating mixed monotone property' to differ another extension of mixed monotone

property (see Definition 7).

Another extension of Definition 3 is p-monotone property introduced by Berzig and Samet [25] as follows:

Definition 7 [25]. Let $(X, \preceq)$ be an ordered set, $F : X^n \to X$ a mapping and $1 \leq p < n$. We say that F has p-mixed monotone property if F is increasing for the range of components from 1 to p and is decreasing for the range of components from $p+1$ to n, *i.e.*, for any $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\underline{x}_1, \overline{x}_1 \in X,\ \underline{x}_1 \preceq \overline{x}_1 \Rightarrow F(\underline{x}_1, x_2, ..., x_p, ..., x_n) \preceq F(\overline{x}_1, x_2, ..., x_p, ..., x_n)\\
&\underline{x}_2, \overline{x}_2 \in X,\ \underline{x}_2 \preceq \overline{x}_2 \Rightarrow F(x_1, \underline{x}_2, ..., x_p, ..., x_n) \preceq F(x_1, \overline{x}_2, ..., x_p, ..., x_n)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\vdots\\
&\underline{x}_p, \overline{x}_p \in X,\ \underline{x}_p \preceq \overline{x}_p \Rightarrow F(x_1, x_2, ..., \underline{x}_p, ..., x_n) \preceq F(x_1, x_2, ..., \overline{x}_p, ..., x_n)\\
&\underline{x}_{p+1}, \overline{x}_{p+1} \in X,\ \underline{x}_{p+1} \preceq \overline{x}_{p+1} \Rightarrow F(x_1, ..., x_p, \underline{x}_{p+1}, ..., x_n) \succeq F(x_1, ..., x_p, \overline{x}_{p+1}, ..., x_n)\\
&\underline{x}_{p+2}, \overline{x}_{p+2} \in X,\ \underline{x}_{p+2} \preceq \overline{x}_{p+2} \Rightarrow F(x_1, ..., x_{p+1}, \underline{x}_{p+2}, ..., x_n) \succeq F(x_1, ..., x_{p+1}, \overline{x}_{p+2}, ..., x_n)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\vdots\\
&\underline{x}_n, \overline{x}_n \in X,\ \underline{x}_n \preceq \overline{x}_n \Rightarrow F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_p, ..., \underline{x}_n) \succeq F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_p, ..., \overline{x}_n)
\end{aligned}$$

In 2012, Roldán *et al*. [26, 27] introduced a generalized notion of mixed monotone property. Although, the authors of [27], termed the same as 'mixed monotone property (w.r.t. $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}\}$)'. For the sack of brevity, we prefer to call the same as 'ι_n-mixed monotone property'.

Definition 8 (see [26, 27]). Let $(X, \preceq)$ be an ordered set and $F : X^n \to X$ a mapping. We say that F has ι_n-mixed monotone property if F is increasing in arguments of A and is decreasing in arguments of B, *i.e.*, for any $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$,

$\underline{x}_i, \overline{x}_i \in X,\ \underline{x}_i \preceq \overline{x}_i$

$\Rightarrow F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{i-1}, \underline{x}_i, x_{i-1}, ..., x_n) \preceq F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{i-1}, \overline{x}_i, x_{i-1}, ..., x_n)$ for each $i \in \mathrm{A}$,

$\underline{x}_i, \overline{x}_i \in X,\ \underline{x}_i \preceq \overline{x}_i$

$\Rightarrow F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{i-1}, \underline{x}_i, x_{i-1}, ..., x_n) \succeq F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{i-1}, \overline{x}_i, x_{i-1}, ..., x_n)$ for each $i \in \mathrm{B}$.

In particular, on setting $\iota_n := \{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}\}$ such that $\mathrm{A} = \{2s-1 : s \in \{1, 2, ..., [\frac{n+1}{2}]\}\}$ *i.e.* the set of all odd numbers in I_n and $\mathrm{B} = \{2s : s \in \{1, 2, ..., [\frac{n}{2}]\}\}$ *i.e.* the set of all even numbers in I_n, Definition 8 reduces to the definition of alternating mixed monotone property, while on setting $\iota_n := \{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}\}$ such that $\mathrm{A} = \{1, 2, ..., p\}$ and $\mathrm{B} = \{p, p+1, ..., n\}$, where $1 \leq p < n$, Definition 8 reduces to the definition of p-mixed monotone property.

Definition 9 (see [26]). Let $(X, \preceq)$ be an ordered set and $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings. We say that F has ι_n-mixed g-monotone property if F is g-increasing in arguments of A and is g-decreasing in arguments of B, *i.e.*, for any $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$, $\underline{x}_i, \overline{x}_i \in X$, $g(\underline{x}_i) \preceq g(\overline{x}_i)$

$\Rightarrow F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{i-1}, \underline{x}_i, x_{i-1}, ..., x_n) \preceq F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{i-1}, \overline{x}_i, x_{i-1}, ..., x_n)$ for each $i \in$ A, $\underline{x}_i, \overline{x}_i \in X$, $g(\underline{x}_i) \preceq g(\overline{x}_i)$

$\Rightarrow F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{i-1}, \underline{x}_i, x_{i-1}, ..., x_n) \succeq F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{i-1}, \overline{x}_i, x_{i-1}, ..., x_n)$ for each $i \in$ B.

Notice that under the restriction $g = I$, the identity mapping on X, Definition 9 reduces to Definition 8.

In the same continuation Paknazar *et al*. [28] introduced the concept of new g-monotone property for the mapping $F : X^n \to X$, which merely depends on the first argument of F. Thereafter, Karapinar *et al*. [29] noticed that multi-tupled coincidence theorems involving new g-monotone property (proved by Paknazar *et al*. [28]) can be reduced to corresponding (unidimensional) coincidence theorems.

In an attempt to extend the notion of coupled fixed point from X^2 to X^3 and X^4 various authors introduced the concepts of tripled and quadrupled fixed points respectively. Here it can be pointed out that these notions were defined in different ways by their respective authors so as to make their notions compatible under the corresponding mixed monotone property. The following definitions of tripled and quadrupled fixed points are available in literature.

Definition 10. Let X be a nonempty set and $F : X^3 \to X$ a mapping. An element $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in X^3$ is called a tripled/triplet fixed point of F if

- (Berinde and Borcut [19]) $F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1,\ F(x_2, x_1, x_2) = x_2,\ F(x_3, x_2, x_1) = x_3$.
- (Wu and Liu [30]) $F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1,\ F(x_2, x_3, x_2) = x_2,\ F(x_3, x_2, x_1) = x_3$.
- (Berzig and Samet [25]) $F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1,\ F(x_2, x_1, x_3) = x_2,\ F(x_3, x_3, x_2) = x_3$.

Definition 11. Let X be a nonempty set and $F : X^4 \to X$ a mapping. An element $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in X^4$ is called a quadrupled/quartet fixed point of F if

- (Karapinar and Luong [20]) $F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = x_1,\ F(x_2, x_3, x_4, x_1) = x_2,$
  $F(x_3, x_4, x_1, x_2) = x_3,\ F(x_4, x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_4$.
- (Wu and Liu [30]) $F(x_1, x_4, x_3, x_2) = x_1,\ F(x_2, x_1, x_4, x_3) = x_2,$
  $F(x_3, x_2, x_1, x_4) = x_3,\ F(x_4, x_3, x_2, x_1) = x_4$.

- (Berzig and Samet [25]) $F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = x_1,\ F(x_1, x_2, x_4, x_3) = x_2,$
  $F(x_3, x_4, x_2, x_1) = x_3,\ F(x_3, x_4, x_1, x_2) = x_4.$

In the same continuation, the notion of coupled fixed point is extended for the mapping $F : X^n \to X$ by various authors in different ways (similar to tripled and quadrupled ones). Also this notion is available under different names as adopted by various authors in their respective papers such as:

- *n-tupled fixed point* (see Imdad *et al.* [21])
- *n-tuple fixed point* (see Karapinar and Roldán [31], Al-Mezel *et al.* [32], Rad *et al.* [33])
- *n-tuplet fixed point* (see Ertürk and Karakaya [23, 24])
- *n-fixed point* (see Gordji and Ramezani [22], Paknazar *et al.* [28])
- *Fixed point of n-order* (see Samet and Vetro [34], Berzig and Samet [25])
- *Multidimensional fixed point* (see Roldán *et al.* [26], Dalal *et al.* [35])
- *Multiplied fixed point* (see Olaoluwa and Olaleru [36])
- *Multivariate coupled fixed point* (see Lee and Kim [37]).

Here, it is worth mentioning that we prefer to use 'n-tupled fixed point' due to its natural analogy with earlier used terms namely: coupled (2-tupled), tripled (3-tupled) and quadrupled (4-tupled).

After the appearance of multi-tupled fixed points, some authors paid attention to unify the different types of multi-tupled fixed points. A first attempt of this kind was given by Berzig and Samet [25], wherein authors defined a one-to-one correspondence between alternating mixed monotone property and p-mixed monotone property and utilized the same to define a unified notion of n-tupled fixed point by using $2n$ mappings from I_n to I_n. Later, Roldán *et al.* [26] extended the notion of n-tupled fixed point of Berzig and Samet [25] so as to make ι_n-mixed monotone property working and introduced the notion of Υ-fixed point based on n mappings from I_n to I_n. To do this, Roldán *et al.* [26] considered the following family

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{A,B}} := \{\sigma : I_n \to I_n : \sigma(A) \subseteq A \text{ and } \sigma(B) \subseteq B\}$$

and

$$\Omega'_{\mathrm{A,B}} := \{\sigma : I_n \to I_n : \sigma(A) \subseteq B \text{ and } \sigma(B) \subseteq A\}.$$

Let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_n$ be n mappings from I_n into itself and let Υ be n-tuple $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_n)$.

Definition 12 [26, 27]. Let X be a nonempty set and $F : X^n \to X$ a mapping. An element $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$ is called a Υ-fixed point of F if

$$F(x_{\sigma_i(1)}, x_{\sigma_i(2)}, ..., x_{\sigma_i(n)}) = x_i \ \ \forall\ i \in I_n.$$

Remark 1. ([31, 32]). In order to ensure the existence of Υ-coincidence/fixed points, it is very important to assume that the ι_n-mixed g-monotone property is compatible with the permutation of the variables, *i.e.*, the mappings of $\Upsilon = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_n)$ should verify:

$$\sigma_i \in \Omega_{\mathrm{A,B}} \text{ if } i \in \mathrm{A} \text{ and } \sigma_i \in \Omega'_{\mathrm{A,B}} \text{ if } i \in \mathrm{B}.$$

In this paper, we observe that the n-mappings involved in Υ-fixed point are not independent to each other. We can represent these mappings in the form of only one mapping, which is infact a binary operation on I_n. Using this fact, we refine and modify the notion of Υ-fixed point and introduce the notion of $*$-fixed point, where $*$ is a binary operation on I_n. Moreover, we represent the binary operation $*$ in the form of a matrix. Due to this, the notion of $*$-fixed point becomes relatively more natural and effective as compared to Υ-fixed point. Furthermore, we present some $*$-coincidence theorems for a pair of mappings $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ under Boyd-Wong type nonlinear contractions satisfying ι_n-mixed g-monotone property in ordered metric spaces. Our results unify several multi-tupled fixed/coincidence point results of the existing literature.

2. Ordered Metric Spaces and Control Functions

In this section, we summarize some order-theoretic metrical notions and possible relations between some existing control functions besides indicating a recent coincidence theorem for nonlinear contractions in ordered metric spaces. Here it can be pointed out that major part of the present work is essentially contained in [38, 39, 40].

Definition 13 [41]. A triplet $(X, d, \preceq)$ is called an ordered metric space if (X, d) is a metric space and $(X, \preceq)$ is an ordered set. Moreover, if (X, d) is a complete metric space, we say that $(X, d, \preceq)$ is an ordered complete metric space.

Definition 14 [40]. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space. A nonempty subset Y of X is called a subspace of X if Y itself is an ordered metric space equipped with the metric d_Y and partial order $\preceq_Y$ defined by:

$$d_Y(x, y) = d(x, y) \ \forall \ x, y \in Y$$

and

$$x \preceq_Y y \Leftrightarrow x \preceq y \ \forall \ x, y \in Y.$$

As per standard practice, we can define the notions of increasing, decreasing, monotone, bounded above and bounded below sequences besides bounds (upper as well as lower) of a sequence in an ordered set $(X, \preceq)$, which on the set of real numbers with natural ordering coincide with their usual senses (see Definition 8 [38]). Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space and $\{x^{(m)}\}$ a sequence in X. We adopt the following notations:

(i) if $\{x^{(m)}\}$ is increasing and $x^{(m)} \xrightarrow{d} x$ then we denote it symbolically by $x^{(m)} \uparrow x$,
(ii) if $\{x^{(m)}\}$ is decreasing and $x^{(m)} \xrightarrow{d} x$ then we denote it symbolically by $x^{(m)} \downarrow x$,
(iii) if $\{x^{(m)}\}$ is monotone and $x^{(m)} \xrightarrow{d} x$ then we denote it symbolically by $x^{(m)} \uparrow\downarrow x$.

Definition 15 [39]. An ordered metric space $(X, d, \preceq)$ is called O-complete if every monotone Cauchy sequence in X converges.

Remark 2. [39] Every ordered complete metric space is O-complete.

Definition 16 [40]. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space. A subset E of X is called O-closed if for any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset E$,

$$x_n \uparrow\downarrow x \Rightarrow x \in E.$$

Remark 3. [40] Every closed subset of an ordered metric space is O-closed.

Proposition 1. [40] *Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an* O*-complete ordered metric space. A subspace E of X is* O*-closed iff E is* O*-complete.*

Definition 17 [39]. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space, $f : X \to X$ a mapping and $x \in X$. Then f is called O-continuous at x if for any sequence $\{x^{(m)}\} \subset X$,

$$x^{(m)} \uparrow\downarrow x \Rightarrow f(x^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{d} f(x).$$

Moreover, f is called O-continuous if it is O-continuous at each point of X.

Remark 4. [39] Every continuous mapping defined on an ordered metric space is O-continuous.

Definition 18 [39]. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space, f and g two self-mappings on X and $x \in X$. Then f is called (g, O)-continuous at x if for any sequence $\{x^{(m)}\} \subset X$,

$$g(x^{(m)}) \uparrow\downarrow g(x) \Rightarrow f(x^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{d} f(x).$$

Moreover, f is called (g, O)-continuous if it is (g, O)-continuous at each point of X.

Definition 19 [39]. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space and f and g two self-mappings on X. We say that f and g are O-compatible if for any sequence $\{x^{(m)}\} \subset X$ and for any $z \in X$,

$$g(x^{(m)}) \uparrow\downarrow z \text{ and } f(x^{(m)}) \uparrow\downarrow z \Rightarrow \lim_{m\to\infty} d(gfx^{(m)}, fgx^{(m)}) = 0.$$

Notice that the above notion is slightly weaker than the notion of O-compatibility (of Luong and Thuan [42]) as they [42] assumed that only the sequence $\{gx^{(m)}\}$ is monotone but here both $\{gx^{(m)}\}$ and $\{fx^{(m)}\}$ be assumed monotone.

The following notion is formulated by using certain properties on ordered metric space (in order to avoid the necessity of continuity requirement on underlying mapping) utilized by earlier authors especially from [43, 44, 16, 18] besides some other ones.

Definition 20 [38]. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space and g a self-mapping on X. We say that

(i) $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *g-ICU* (increasing-convergence-upper bound) property if g-image of every increasing convergent sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is bounded above by g-image of its limit (as an upper bound), *i.e.*,

$$x_n \uparrow x \Rightarrow g(x_n) \preceq g(x) \ \ \forall\ n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

(ii) $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *g-DCL* (decreasing-convergence-lower bound) property if g-image of every decreasing convergent sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is bounded below by g-image of its limit (as a lower bound), *i.e.*,

$$x_n \downarrow x \Rightarrow g(x_n) \succeq g(x) \ \ \forall\ n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and}$$

(iii) $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *g-MCB* (monotone-convergence-boundedness) property if it has both *g-ICU* as well as *g-DCL* property.

Notice that under the restriction $g = I$, the identity mapping on X, the notions of *g-ICU* property, *g-DCL* property and *g-MCB* property transform to *ICU* property, *DCL* property and *MCB* property respectively.

The following family of control functions is essentially due to Boyd and Wong [45].

$$\Psi = \Big\{\varphi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty) : \varphi(t) < t \text{ for each } t > 0 \text{ and } \varphi \text{ is right} - \text{upper semicontinuous}\Big\}.$$

Mukherjea [46] introduced the following family of control functions:

$$\Theta = \Big\{\varphi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty) : \varphi(t) < t \text{ for each } t > 0 \text{ and } \varphi \text{ is right continuous}\Big\}.$$

The following family of control functions found in literature is more natural.

$$\Im = \Big\{\varphi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty) : \varphi(t) < t \text{ for each } t > 0 \text{ and } \varphi \text{ is continuous}\Big\}.$$

The following family of control functions is due to Lakshmikantham and Ćirić [18].

$$\Phi = \Big\{\varphi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty) : \varphi(t) < t \text{ for each } t > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{r \to t^+} \varphi(r) < t \text{ for each t} > 0\Big\}.$$

The following family of control functions is indicated in Boyd and Wong [45] but was later used in Jotic [47].

$$\Omega = \Big\{\varphi : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty) : \varphi(t) < t \text{ for each } t > 0 \text{ and } \limsup_{r \to t^+} \varphi(r) < t \text{ for each t} > 0\Big\}.$$

Recently, Alam *et al*. [38] studied the following relation among above classes of control functions.

Proposition 2. [38]. *The class* Ω *enlarges the classes* Ψ, Θ, $\Im$ *and* Φ *under the following inclusion relation:*

$$\Im \subset \Theta \subset \Psi \subset \Omega \text{ and } \Im \subset \Theta \subset \Phi \subset \Omega.$$

Definition 21. Let X be a nonempty set and f and g two self-mappings on X. Then an element $x \in X$ is called a coincidence point of f and g if

$$f(x) = g(x) = \overline{x},$$

for some $\overline{x} \in X$. Moreover, $\overline{x}$ is called a point of coincidence of f and g. Furthermore, if $\overline{x} = x$, then x is called a common fixed point of f and g.

The following coincidence theorems are crucial results to prove our main results.

Lemma 1. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space and E an O-complete subspace of X. Let f and g be two self-mappings on X. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) $f(X) \subseteq g(X) \cap E$,
(ii) f is g-increasing,
(iii) f and g are O-compatible,
(iv) g is O-continuous,
(v) either f is O-continuous or $(E, d, \preceq)$ has g-*MCB* property,
(vi) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $g(x_0) \prec\succ f(x_0)$,
(vii) there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \varphi(d(gx, gy)) \ \forall\ x, y \in X \text{ with } g(x) \prec\succ g(y).$$

Then f and g have a coincidence point. Moreover, if the following condition is also hold:

(viii) for each pair $x, y \in X$, $\exists\ z \in X$ such that $g(x) \prec\succ g(z)$ and $g(y) \prec\succ g(z)$,

then f and g have a unique point of coincidence, which remains also a unique common fixed point.

Lemma 2. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space and E an O-complete subspace of X. Let f and g be two self-mappings on X. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) $f(X) \subseteq E \subseteq g(X)$,
(ii) f is g-increasing,

(iii) either f is (g, O)-continuous or f and g are continuous or $(E, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property,

(iv) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $g(x_0) \prec\succ f(x_0)$,

(v) there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \varphi(d(gx, gy)) \ \forall\ x, y \in X \text{ with } g(x) \prec\succ g(y).$$

Then f and g have a coincidence point. Moreover, if the following condition is also hold:

(vi) for each pair $x, y \in X$, $\exists\ z \in X$ such that $g(x) \prec\succ g(z)$ and $g(y) \prec\succ g(z)$,

then f and g have a unique point of coincidence.

We skip the proofs of above lemmas as they are proved in Alam *et al.* [38, 39, 40].

3. Extended Notions Upto Product Sets

With a view to extend the domain of the mapping $f : X \longrightarrow X$ to n-dimensional product set X^n, we introduce the variants of some existing notions namely: fixed/coincidence points, commutativity, compatibility, continuity, g-continuity etc. for the mapping $F : X^n \to X$. On the lines of Herstein [48], a binary operation $*$ on a set S is a mapping from $S \times S$ to S and a permutation π on a set S is a one-one mapping from a S onto itself. Throughout this paper, we adopt the following notations.

(1) In order to understand a binary operation $*$ on I_n, we denote the image of any element $(i, k) \in I_n \times I_n$ under $*$ by i_k rather than $*(i, k)$.

(2) A binary operation $*$ on I_n can be identically represented by an $n \times n$ matrix throughout its ordered image such that the first and second components run over rows and columns respectively, *i.e.*,

$$* = [m_{ik}]_{n\times n} \text{ where } m_{ik} = i_k \text{ for each } i, k \in I_n.$$

(3) A permutation π on I_n can be identically represented by an n-tuple throughout its ordered image, *i.e.*,

$$\pi = (\pi(1), \pi(2), ..., \pi(n)).$$

(4) $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ denotes the family of all binary operations $*$ on I_n, *i.e.*,

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{n}} = \{* : * : I_n \times I_n \to I_n\}.$$

(5) For any fixed $\iota_n, \mathcal{U}_{\iota_n}$ denotes the family of all binary operations $*$ on I_n satisfying the following conditions:

(a) $*(A \times A) \subset A$

(b) $*(A \times B) \subset B$

(c) $*(B \times A) \subset B$

(d) $*(B \times B) \subset A$.

Remark 5. The following facts are straightforward:

(i) for each $i \in I_n$, $\{i_1, i_2, ..., i_n\} \subseteq I_n$.
(ii) $\mathcal{U}_{\iota_n} \subset \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{n}}$.

Definition 22. Let X be a nonempty set, $* \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $F : X^n \to X$ a mapping. An element $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$ is called an n-tupled fixed point of F w.r.t. $*$ (or, in short, $*$-fixed point of F) if

$$F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}) = x_i \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

Selection of $*$ for tripled fixed points of Berinde and Borcut [19], Wu and Liu [30] and Berzig and Samet [25] are respectively:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Selection of $*$ for quadrupled fixed points of Karapinar and Luong [20], Wu and Liu [30] and Berzig and Samet [25] are respectively:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Remark 6. To ensure the existence of $*$-fixed point for a mapping satisfying ι_n-mixed monotone property defined on an ordered metric space, the class $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ must be restricted to the subclass $\mathcal{U}_{\iota_n}$ (*i.e.* necessarily $* \in \mathcal{U}_{\iota_n}$) so that ι_n-mixed monotone property can work.

Proposition 3. *The notion of $*$-fixed point is equivalent to Υ-fixed point.*

Proof. Let $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$ is a Υ-fixed point of the mapping $F : X^n \to X$, where $\Upsilon = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_n)$. Define $* : I_n \times I_n \to I_n$ by

$$i_k = \sigma_i(k) \ \forall \ i, k \in I_n,$$

which implies that $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$ is a $*$-fixed point of F.
Conversely, suppose that $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$ is an $*$-fixed point of the mapping F. Let σ_1,σ_2,...,σ_n be the row n-tuples of the matrix representation of $*$, *i.e.*,

$$* = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_2 \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_n \end{bmatrix}$$

so that σ_1,σ_2,...,σ_n forms n mappings from I_n into itself and $\sigma_i(k) = i_k \ \forall \ i,k \in I_n$. Denote $\Upsilon = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_n)$, which amounts to say that $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$ is a Υ-fixed point of F.
Moreover, in order to hold ι_n-mixed monotone property, the arguments in Remark 1 and Remark 6 are equivalent.

Definition 23. Let X be a nonempty set, $* \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings. An element $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$ is called an n-tupled coincidence point of F and g w.r.t. $*$ (or, in short, $*$-coincidence point of F and g) if

$$F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}) = g(x_i) \ \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

In this case $(gx_1, gx_2, ..., gx_n)$ is called point of $*$-coincidence of F and g.
Notice that if g is an identity mapping on X then Definition 23 reduces to Definition 22.

Definition 24. Let X be a nonempty set, $* \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings. An element $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$ is called a common n-tupled fixed point of F and g w.r.t. $*$ (or, in short, common $*$-fixed point of F and g) if

$$F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}) = g(x_i) = x_i \ \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

In the following lines, we define four special types n-tupled fixed points, which are somewhat natural.

Definition 25. Let X be a nonempty set and $F : X^n \to X$ a mapping. An element $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$ is called a forward cyclic n-tupled fixed point of F if

$$F(x_i, x_{i+1}, ..., x_n, x_1, ..., x_{i-1}) = x_i \text{ for each } i \in I_n$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} &F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = x_1, \\ &F(x_2, x_3, ..., x_n, x_1) = x_2, \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &F(x_n, x_1, x_2, ..., x_{n-1}) = x_n. \end{aligned}$$

This was initiated by Samet and Vetro [34]. To obtain this we define $*$ as

$$i_k = \begin{cases} i + k - 1 & 1 \leq k \leq n - i + 1 \\ i + k - n - 1 & n - i + 2 \leq k \leq n \end{cases}$$

i.e.
$$* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\ 2 & 3 & \cdots & n & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ n & 1 & \cdots & n-2 & n-1 \end{bmatrix}_{n\times n}$$

Definition 26. Let X be a nonempty set and $F : X^n \to X$ a mapping. An element $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$ is called a backward cyclic n-tupled fixed point of F if

$$F(x_i, x_{i-1}, ..., x_1, x_n, x_{n-1}, ..., x_{i+1}) = x_i \text{ for each } i \in I_n$$

i.e.
$$\begin{aligned} &F(x_1, x_n, x_{n-1}, ..., x_2) = x_1, \\ &F(x_2, x_1, x_n, ..., x_3) = x_2, \\ &\quad\vdots \\ &F(x_n, x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, ..., x_1) = x_n. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain this we define $*$ as

$$i_k = \begin{cases} i-k+1 & 1 \le k \le i \\ n+i-k+1 & i+1 \le k \le n-1 \end{cases}$$

i.e.
$$* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n & n-1 & \cdots & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & n & \cdots & 3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ n & n-1 & n-2 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{n\times n}$$

Definition 27. Let X be a nonempty set and $F : X^n \to X$ a mapping. An element $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$ is called a 1-skew cyclic n-tupled fixed point of F if

$$F(x_i, x_{i-1}, ..., x_2, x_1, x_2, ..., x_{n-i+1}) = x_i \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

This was introduced by Gordji and Ramezani [22]. To obtain this we define $*$ as

$$i_k = \begin{cases} i-k+1 & 1 \le k \le i \\ k-i+1 & i+1 \le k \le n \end{cases}$$

Definition 28. Let X be a nonempty set and $F : X^n \to X$ a mapping. An element $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$ is called a n-skew cyclic n-tupled fixed point of F if

$$F(x_i, x_{i+1}, ..., x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n-1}, ..., x_{n-i+1}) = x_i \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

To obtain this we define $*$ as

$$i_k = \begin{cases} i+k-1 & 1 \leq k \leq n-i+1 \\ 2n-i-k+1 & n-i+2 \leq k \leq n \end{cases}$$

Remark 7. In particular for $n = 4$, forward cyclic and backward cyclic n-tupled fixed points reduce to quadrupled fixed points of Karapinar and Luong [20] and Wu and Liu [30] respectively. Also, for $n = 3$, 1-skew cyclic and n-skew cyclic n-tupled fixed points reduce to tripled fixed points of Berinde and Borcut [19] and Wu and Liu [30] respectively.

Definition 29. A binary operation $*$ on I_n is called permuted if each row of matrix representation of $*$ forms a permutation on I_n.

Example 1. On I_3, consider two binary operations

$$*=\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \circ=\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$*$ is permuted as each of rows $(1,2,3),(2,1,3),(3,2,1)$ is a permutation on I_3. While $\circ$ is not permuted as last row $(3,3,2)$ is not permutation on I_3.

It is clear that binary operations defined for forward cyclic and backward cyclic n-tupled fixed points are permuted while for 1-skew cyclic and n-skew cyclic n-tupled fixed points are not permuted.

Proposition 4. *A permutation $*$ on I_n is permuted iff for each $i \in I_n$,*

$$\{i_1, i_2, ..., i_n\} = I_n.$$

Definition 30. Let (X,d) be a metric space, $F : X^n \to X$ a mapping and $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$. We say that F is continuous at $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ if for any sequences $\{x_1^{(m)}\}, \{x_2^{(m)}\}$, $..., \{x_n^{(m)}\} \subset X$,

$$x_1^{(m)} \xrightarrow{d} x_1,\ x_2^{(m)} \xrightarrow{d} x_2, ...,\ x_n^{(m)} \xrightarrow{d} x_n$$

$$\Longrightarrow F(x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}, ..., x_n^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{d} F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n).$$

Moreover, F is called continuous if it is continuous at each point of X^n.

Definition 31. Let (X,d) be a metric space and $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings and $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$. We say that F is g-continuous at $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ if for any sequences $\{x_1^{(m)}\}, \{x_2^{(m)}\}, ..., \{x_n^{(m)}\} \subset X$,

$$g(x_1^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{d} g(x_1),\ g(x_2^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{d} g(x_2), ...,\ g(x_n^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{d} g(x_n)$$

$$\Longrightarrow F(x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}, ..., x_n^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{d} F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n).$$

Moreover, F is called g-continuous if it is g-continuous at each point of X^n.

Notice that setting $g = I$ (identity mapping on X), Definition 31 reduces to Definition 30.

Definition 32. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space, $F : X^n \to X$ a mapping and $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$. We say that F is O-continuous at $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$ if for any sequences $\{x_1^{(m)}\}, \{x_2^{(m)}\}, ..., \{x_n^{(m)}\} \subset X$,

$$x_1^{(m)} \uparrow\downarrow x_1,\ x_2^{(m)} \uparrow\downarrow x_2, ...,\ x_n^{(m)} \uparrow\downarrow x_n$$

$$\Longrightarrow F(x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}, ..., x_n^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{d} F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n).$$

Moreover, F is called O-continuous if it is O-continuous at each point of X^n.

Definition 33. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space, $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings and $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$. We say that F is (g, O)-continuous at $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ if for any sequences $\{x_1^{(m)}\}, \{x_2^{(m)}\}, ..., \{x_n^{(m)}\} \subset X$,

$$g(x_1^{(m)}) \uparrow\downarrow g(x_1),\ g(x_2^{(m)}) \uparrow\downarrow g(x_2), ...,\ g(x_n^{(m)}) \uparrow\downarrow g(x_n)$$

$$\Longrightarrow F(x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}, ..., x_n^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{d} F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n).$$

Moreover, F is called (g, O)-continuous if it is (g, O)-continuous at each point of X^n.

Notice that setting $g = I$ (identity mapping on X), Definition 33 reduces to Definition 32.

Remark 8. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space and $g : X \to X$ a mapping. If $F : X^n \to X$ is a continuous (resp. g-continuous) mapping then F is also O-continuous (resp. (g, O)-continuous).

Definition 34. Let X be a nonempty set and $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings. We say that F and g are commuting if for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$,

$$g(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)) = F(gx_1, gx_2, ..., gx_n).$$

Definition 35. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings. We say that F and g are $*$-compatible if for any sequences $\{x_1^{(m)}\}, \{x_2^{(m)}\}, ..., \{x_n^{(m)}\} \subset X$ and for any $z_1, z_2, ..., z_n \in X$,

$$g(x_i^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{d} z_i \text{ and } F(x_{i_1}^{(m)}, x_{i_2}^{(m)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{d} z_i \text{ for each } i \in I_n$$

$$\Longrightarrow \lim_{m\to\infty} d(gF(x_{i_1}^{(m)}, x_{i_2}^{(m)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(m)}), F(gx_{i_1}^{(m)}, gx_{i_2}^{(m)}, ..., gx_{i_n}^{(m)})) = 0 \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

Definition 36. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space and $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings. We say that F and g are $(*, \mathrm{O})$-compatible if for any sequences $\{x_1^{(m)}\}, \{x_2^{(m)}\}, ..., \{x_n^{(m)}\} \subset X$ and for any $z_1, z_2, ..., z_n \in X$,

$$g(x_i^{(m)}) \uparrow\downarrow z_i \text{ and } F(x_{i_1}^{(m)}, x_{i_2}^{(m)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(m)}) \uparrow\downarrow z_i \text{ for each } i \in I_n$$

$$\Longrightarrow \lim_{m\to\infty} d(gF(x_{i_1}^{(m)}, x_{i_2}^{(m)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(m)}), F(gx_{i_1}^{(m)}, gx_{i_2}^{(m)}, ..., gx_{i_n}^{(m)})) = 0 \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

Definition 37. Let X be a nonempty set and $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings. We say that F and g are weakly $*$-compatible if for any $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$,

$$g(x_i) = F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}) \text{ for each } i \in I_n$$

$$\Longrightarrow g(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n})) = F(gx_{i_1}, gx_{i_2}, ..., gx_{i_n}) \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

Remark 9. Evidently, in an ordered metric space, commutativity $\Rightarrow$ $*$-compatibility $\Rightarrow$ $(*, \mathrm{O})$-compatibility $\Rightarrow$ weak $*$-compatibility.

Proposition 5. *If $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ are weakly $*$-compatible, then every point of $*$-coincidence of F and g is also an $*$-coincidence point of F and g.*

Proof. Let $(\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2, ..., \overline{x}_n) \in X^n$ be a point of $*$-coincidence of F and g, then $\exists\, x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$ such that $F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}) = g(x_i) = \overline{x}_i$ for each $i \in I_n$. Now, we have to show that $(\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2, ..., \overline{x}_n)$ is a $*$-coincidence point of F and g. On using weak $*$-compatibility of F and g, for each $i \in I_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\overline{x}_i) &= g(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n})) \\ &= F(gx_{i_1}, gx_{i_2}, ..., gx_{i_n}) \\ &= F(\overline{x}_{i_1}, \overline{x}_{i_2}, ..., \overline{x}_{i_n}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $(\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2, ..., \overline{x}_n)$ is an $*$-coincidence point of F and g.

4. Auxiliary Results

The classical technique involved in the proofs of the multi-tupled fixed point results due to Bhasker and Lakshmikantham [16], Berinde and Borcut [19], Karapinar and Luong [20], Imdad *et al*. [21], Berzig and Samet [25], Roldán *et al*. [26] *etc*. is very long specially due to the involvement of n coordinates of the elements and the sequences in X^n. In 2011, Berinde [49], generalized the coupled fixed point results of Bhasker and Lakshmikantham [16] by using the corresponding fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces. Recently, utilizing this technique several authors such as: Jleli *et al*. [50], Samet *et al*. [51], Wu and Liu [52], Wu and Liu [30], Dalal *et al*. [35], Radenović [53], Al-Mezel *et al*. [32], Roldán *et al*. [27], Rad *et al*. [33], Sharma *et al*. [54] *etc*. proved some multi-tupled fixed point results. The technique of reduction of multi-tupled fixed point results from corresponding fixed point results is fascinating, relatively simpler, shorter

and more effective than classical technique. Due to this fact, we also prove our results using later technique. In this section, we discuss some basic results, which provide the tools for reduction of the multi-tupled fixed point results from the corresponding fixed point results. Before doing so, we consider the following induced notations.

(1) For any U= $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$, for an $* \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{n}}$ and for each $i \in I_n$, U_i^* denotes the ordered element $(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n})$ of X^n.

(2) For each $* \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{n}}$, a mapping $F : X^n \to X$ induce an associated mapping $F_* : X^n \to X^n$ defined by

$$F_*(\mathrm{U}) = (F\mathrm{U}_1^*, F\mathrm{U}_2^*, ..., F\mathrm{U}_n^*) \ \forall \ \mathrm{U} \in X^n.$$

(3) A mapping $g : X \to X$ induces an associated mapping $G : X^n \to X^n$ defined by

$$G(\mathrm{U}) = (gx_1, gx_2, ..., gx_n) \ \forall \ \mathrm{U} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n.$$

(4) For a metric space (X, d), Δ_n and ∇_n denote two metrics on product set X^n defined by:
for all U=$(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, V=$(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n) \in X^n$,

$$\Delta_n(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d(x_i, y_i)$$

$$\nabla_n(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V}) = \max_{i \in I_n} d(x_i, y_i).$$

(5) For any ordered set $(X, \preceq)$ and a fixed ι_n, $\sqsubseteq_{\iota_n}$ denotes a partial order on X^n defined by:
for all U=$(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, V=$(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n) \in X^n$,

$$\mathrm{U} \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n} \mathrm{V} \Leftrightarrow x_i \preceq y_i \text{ for each } i \in A \text{ and } x_i \succeq y_i \text{ for each } i \in B.$$

Remark 10. The following facts are straightforward:

(i) $F_*(X^n) \subseteq (FX^n)^n$.
(ii) $G(X^n) = (gX)^n$.
(iii) $(GU)_i^* = G(U_i^*) \ \forall \ \mathrm{U} \in X^n$.
(iv) $\frac{1}{n}\nabla_n \leq \Delta_n \leq \nabla_n$ (*i.e.* both the metrics Δ_n and ∇_n are equivalent).

Lemma 3. Let X be a nonempty set, $E \subseteq X$, $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings and $* \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{n}}$.

(i) If $F(X^n) \subseteq g(X) \cap E$ then $F_*(X^n) \subseteq (FX^n)^n \subseteq G(X^n) \cap E^n$.
(ii) If $F(X^n) \subseteq E \subseteq g(X)$ then $F_*(X^n) \subseteq (FX^n)^n \subseteq E^n \subseteq G(X^n)$.
(iii) An element $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$ is $*$-coincidence point of F and g iff $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ is a coincidence point of F_* and G.
(iv) An element $(\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2, ..., \overline{x}_n) \in X^n$ is point of $*$-coincidence of F and g iff $(\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2, ..., \overline{x}_n)$ is a point of coincidence of F_* and G.

(v) An element $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X^n$ is common $*$-fixed point of F and g iff $(x_1, x_2,...,x_n)$ is a common fixed point of F_* and G.

Proof. The proof of the lemma is straightforward and hence it is left to the reader.

Lemma 4. Let $(X, \preceq)$ be an ordered set, $g : X \to X$ a mapping and $* \in \mathcal{U}_{\iota_n}$. If $G(\mathrm{U}) \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{V})$ for some U,V$\in X^n$ then

(i) $G(\mathrm{U}_i^*) \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{V}_i^*)$ for each $i \in A$,

(ii) $G(\mathrm{U}_i^*) \sqsupseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{V}_i^*)$ for each $i \in B$.

Proof. Let U=$(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ and V=$(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$, then we have

$$(gx_1, gx_2, ..., gx_n) \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n} (gy_1, gy_2, ..., gy_n),$$

which implies that

$$g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i) \text{ for each } i \in A \text{ and } g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i) \text{ for each } i \in B. \tag{1}$$

Now, we consider the following cases:

Case I: $i \in A$. Then by the definition of $\mathcal{U}_{\iota_n}$, we have

$$i_k \in A \text{ for each } k \in A \text{ and } i_k \in B \text{ for each } k \in B. \tag{2}$$

Using (1) and (2), we obtain

$$g(x_{i_k}) \preceq g(y_{i_k}) \text{ for each } k \in A \text{ and } g(x_{i_k}) \succeq g(y_{i_k}) \text{ for each } k \in B,$$

which implies that

$$(gx_{i_1}, gx_{i_2}, ..., gx_{i_n}) \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n} (gy_{i_1}, gy_{i_2}, ..., gy_{i_n}),$$

i.e.

$$G(\mathrm{U}_i^*) \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{V}_i^*) \text{ for each } i \in A.$$

Hence, (i) is proved.

Case II: $i \in B$. Then by the definition of $\mathcal{U}_{\iota_n}$, we have

$$i_k \in B \text{ for each } k \in A \text{ and } i_k \in A \text{ for each } k \in B. \tag{3}$$

Using (1) and (3), we obtain

$$g(x_{i_k}) \succeq g(y_{i_k}) \text{ for each } k \in A \text{ and } g(x_{i_k}) \preceq g(y_{i_k}) \text{ for each } k \in B,$$

which implies that

$$(gx_{i_1}, gx_{i_2}, ..., gx_{i_n}) \sqsupseteq_{\iota_n} (gy_{i_1}, gy_{i_2}, ..., gy_{i_n}),$$

i.e.

$$G(\mathrm{U}_i^*) \sqsupseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{V}_i^*) \text{ for each } i \in B.$$

Hence, (ii) is proved.

Lemma 5. Let $(X, \preceq)$ be an ordered set, $F : X^2 \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings and $* \in \mathcal{U}_{\iota_n}$. If F has ι_n-mixed g-monotone property then F_* is G-increasing in ordered set $(X^n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$.

Proof. Take U=$(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, V=$(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n) \in X^n$ with $G(\mathrm{U}) \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{V})$. Consider the following cases:

Case I: $i \in A$. Owing to Lemma 3, we obtain

$$G(\mathrm{U}_i^*) \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{V}_i^*),$$

which implies that

$$g(x_{i_k}) \preceq g(y_{i_k}) \text{ for each } k \in A \text{ and } g(x_{i_k}) \succeq g(y_{i_k}) \text{ for each } k \in B. \tag{4}$$

On using (4) and ι_n-mixed g-monotone property of F, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathrm{U}_i^*) &= F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}) \\ &\preceq F(y_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}) \\ &\preceq F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}) \\ &\preceq \cdots \\ &\preceq F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n}) \\ &= F(\mathrm{V}_i^*) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$F(\mathrm{U}_i^*) \preceq F(\mathrm{V}_i^*) \text{ for each } i \in A. \tag{5}$$

Case II: $i \in B$. Owing to Lemma 3, we obtain

$$G(\mathrm{U}_i^*) \sqsupseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{V}_i^*),$$

which implies that

$$g(x_{i_k}) \succeq g(y_{i_k}) \text{ for each } k \in A \text{ and } g(x_{i_k}) \preceq g(y_{i_k}) \text{ for each } k \in B. \tag{6}$$

On using (6) and ι_n-mixed g-monotone property of F, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathrm{U}_i^*) &= F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}) \\ &\succeq F(y_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}) \\ &\succeq F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}) \\ &\succeq \cdots \\ &\succeq F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n}) \\ &= F(\mathrm{V}_i^*) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$F(\mathrm{U}_i^*) \succeq F(\mathrm{V}_i^*) \text{ for each } i \in B. \tag{7}$$

From (5) and (7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_*(\mathrm{U}) &= (F\mathrm{U}_1^*, F\mathrm{U}_2^*, ..., F\mathrm{U}_n^*) \\ &\sqsubseteq_{\iota_n} (F\mathrm{V}_1^*, F\mathrm{V}_2^*, ..., F\mathrm{V}_n^*) \\ &= F_*(\mathrm{V}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, F_* is G-increasing.

Lemma 6. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $g : X \to X$ a mapping and $* \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{n}}$. Then, for any U=$(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$,V=$(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n) \in X^n$ and for each $i \in I_n$,

(i) $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} d(gx_{i_k}, gy_{i_k}) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} d(gx_j, gy_j) = \Delta_n(G\mathrm{U}, G\mathrm{V})$ provided $*$ is permuted,

(ii) $\max_{k\in I_n} d(gx_{i_k}, gy_{i_k}) = \max_{j\in I_n} d(gx_j, gy_j) = \nabla_n(G\mathrm{U}, G\mathrm{V})$ provided $*$ is permuted,

(iii) $\max_{k\in I_n} d(gx_{i_k}, gy_{i_k}) \leq \max_{j\in I_n} d(gx_j, gy_j) = \nabla_n(G\mathrm{U}, G\mathrm{V})$.

Proof. The result is followed by using Remark 5 (item (i)) and Proposition 4.

Proposition 6. *Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then for any sequence $\mathrm{U}^{(m)} \subset X^n$ and any $\mathrm{U} \in X^n$, where $\mathrm{U}^{(m)} = (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}, ..., x_n^{(m)})$ and $\mathrm{U} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$*

(i) $\mathrm{U}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\Delta_n} \mathrm{U} \Leftrightarrow x_i^{(m)} \xrightarrow{d} x_i$ for each $i \in I_n$.

(ii) $\mathrm{U}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\nabla_n} \mathrm{U} \Leftrightarrow x_i^{(m)} \xrightarrow{d} x_i$ for each $i \in I_n$.

Lemma 7. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings and $* \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{n}}$.

(i) If g is continuous then G is continuous in both metric spaces (X^n, Δ_n) and (X^n, ∇_n),

(ii) If F is continuous then F_* is continuous in both metric spaces (X^n, Δ_n) and (X^n, ∇_n).

Proof. (i) Take a sequence $\mathrm{U}^{(m)} \subset X^n$ and a $\mathrm{U} \in X^n$, where $\mathrm{U}^{(m)} = (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}, ..., x_n^{(m)})$ and U= $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ such that

$$\mathrm{U}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\Delta_n} \mathrm{U} \quad (\text{resp. } \mathrm{U}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\nabla_n} \mathrm{U}),$$

which, on using Proposition 6 implies that

$$x_i^{(m)} \xrightarrow{d} x_i \text{ for each } i \in I_n. \tag{8}$$

Using (8) and continuity of g, we get

$$g(x_i^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{d} g(x_i) \text{ for each } i \in I_n,$$

which, again by using Proposition 6 gives rise

$$G(\mathrm{U}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\Delta_n} G(\mathrm{U}) \quad (\text{resp. } G(\mathrm{U}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\nabla_n} G(\mathrm{U})).$$

Hence, G is continuous in metric space (X^n, Δ_n) (resp. (X^n, ∇_n))
(ii) Take a sequence $\mathrm{U}^{(m)} \subset X^n$ and a $\mathrm{U} \in X^n$, where $\mathrm{U}^{(m)} = (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}, ..., x_n^{(m)})$ and $\mathrm{U}= (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ such that

$$\mathrm{U}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\Delta_n} \mathrm{U} \quad (\text{resp. } \mathrm{U}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\nabla_n} \mathrm{U}),$$

which, on using Proposition 6 implies that

$$x_i^{(m)} \xrightarrow{d} x_i \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

It follows for each $i \in I_n$ that

$$x_{i_1}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{d} x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{d} x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{d} x_{i_n}. \tag{9}$$

Using (9) and continuity of F, we get

$$F(x_{i_1}^{(m)}, x_{i_2}^{(m)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{d} F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n})$$

so that

$$F(\mathrm{U}_i^{(m)*}) \xrightarrow{d} F(\mathrm{U}) \text{ for each i} \in \mathrm{I_n}.$$

which, again by using Proposition 6 gives rise

$$F_*(\mathrm{U}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\Delta_n} F_*(\mathrm{U}) \quad (\text{resp. } F_*(\mathrm{U}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\nabla_n} F_*(\mathrm{U})).$$

Hence, F_* is continuous in metric space (X^n, Δ_n) (resp. (X^n, ∇_n))

Proposition 7. *Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space and $\{\mathrm{U}^{(m)}\}$ a sequence in X^n, where $\mathrm{U}^{(m)} = (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}, ..., x_n^{(m)})$.*

(i) *If $\{\mathrm{U}^{(m)}\}$ is monotone in $(X^n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ then each of $\{x_1^{(m)}\}$,$\{x_2^{(m)}\}$,...,$\{x_n^{(m)}\}$ is monotone in $(X, \preceq)$.*

(ii) *If $\{\mathrm{U}^{(m)}\}$ is Cauchy in (X^n, Δ_n) (similarly in (X^n, ∇_n)) then each of $\{x_1^{(m)}\}$,$\{x_2^{(m)}\}$, ...,$\{x_n^{(m)}\}$ is Cauchy in (X, d).*

Lemma 8. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space, $E \subseteq X$ and $* \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{n}}$. Let $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ be two mappings.

(i) If $(E, d, \preceq)$ is O-complete then $(E^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ and $(E^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ both are O-complete.

(ii) If F and g are $(*, \mathrm{O})$-compatible then F_* and G are O-compatible in both ordered metric spaces $(X^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ and $(X^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$,

(iii) If g is O-continuous then G is O-continuous in both ordered metric spaces $(X^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ and $(X^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$,

(iv) If F is O-continuous then F_* is O-continuous in both ordered metric spaces $(X^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ and $(X^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$,

(v) If F is (g, O)-continuous then F_* is (G, O)-continuous in both ordered metric spaces $(X^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ and $(X^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$,

(vi) If $(E, d, \preceq)$ has *g-MCB* property then both $(E^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ and $(E^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ have *G-MCB* property,

(vii) If $(E, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property then both $(E^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ and $(E^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ have *MCB* property.

Proof. (i) Let $\{\mathrm{U}^{(m)}\}$ be a monotone Cauchy sequence in $(E^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ (resp. in $(E^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$). Denote $\mathrm{U}^{(m)} = (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}, ..., x_n^{(m)})$, then by Proposition 7, each of $\{x_1^{(m)}\}$,$\{x_2^{(m)}\}$,...,$\{x_n^{(m)}\}$ is a monotone Cauchy sequence in $(E, d, \preceq)$. By O-completeness of $(E, d, \preceq)$, $\exists\ x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in E$ such that

$$x_i^{(m)} \xrightarrow{d} x_i \text{ for each } i \in I_n,$$

which using Proposition 6, implies that

$$\mathrm{U}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\Delta_n} \mathrm{U} \ \ (\text{resp. } \mathrm{U}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\nabla_n} \mathrm{U}),$$

where $\mathrm{U} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$. It follows that $(E^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ (resp. $(E^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$) is O-complete.

(ii) Take a sequence $\{\mathrm{U}^{(m)}\} \subset X^n$ such that $\{G\mathrm{U}^{(m)}\}$ and $\{F_*\mathrm{U}^{(m)}\}$ are monotone (w.r.t. partial order $\sqsubseteq_{\iota_n}$) and

$$G(\mathrm{U}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\Delta_n} \mathrm{W} \text{ and } F_*(\mathrm{U}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\Delta_n} \mathrm{W}$$

for some $\mathrm{W} \in X^n$. Write $\mathrm{U}^{(m)} = (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}, ..., x_n^{(m)})$ and $\mathrm{W} = (z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)$. Then, by using Propositions 6 and 7, we obtain

$$g(x_i^{(m)}) \uparrow\downarrow z_i \text{ and } F(x_{i_1}^{(m)}, x_{i_2}^{(m)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(m)}) \uparrow\downarrow z_i \ \text{ for each } i \in I_n. \tag{10}$$

On using (10) and $(*, \mathrm{O})$-compatibility of F and g, we have

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} d(gF(x_{i_1}^{(m)}, x_{i_2}^{(m)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(m)}), F(gx_{i_1}^{(m)}, gx_{i_2}^{(m)}, ..., gx_{i_n}^{(m)})) = 0 \ \text{ for each } i \in I_n$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} d(g(F\mathrm{U}_i^{(m)*}), F(G\mathrm{U}_i^{(m)*})) = 0 \ \text{ for each } i \in I_n. \tag{11}$$

Now, owing to (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n(GF_*\mathrm{U}^{(m)}, F_*G\mathrm{U}^{(m)}) &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(g(F\mathrm{U}_i^{(m)*}), F(G\mathrm{U}_i^{(m)*})) \\ &\to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that F_* and G are O-compatible in ordered metric space $(X^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$. In the similar manner, one can prove the same for ordered metric space $(X^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$.

The procedures of the proofs of parts (iii) and (iv) are similar to Lemma 7 and the part (v) and hence the proof is left for readers.

(v) Take a sequence $\{\mathrm{U}^{(m)}\} \subset X^n$ and a $\mathrm{U} \in X^n$ such that $\{G\mathrm{U}^{(m)}\}$ is monotone (w.r.t. partial order $\sqsubseteq_{\iota_n}$) and

$$G(\mathrm{U}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\Delta_n} G(\mathrm{U}) \quad (\text{resp. } G(\mathrm{U}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\nabla_n} G(\mathrm{U})).$$

Write $\mathrm{U}^{(m)} = (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}, ..., x_n^{(m)})$ and $\mathrm{U}= (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$. Then, by using Propositions 6 and 7, we obtain

$$g(x_i^{(m)}) \uparrow\downarrow g(x_i) \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

It follows for each $i \in I_n$ that

$$g(x_{i_1}^{(m)}) \uparrow\downarrow g(x_{i_1}), g(x_{i_2}^{(m)}) \uparrow\downarrow g(x_{i_2}), ..., g(x_{i_n}^{(m)}) \uparrow\downarrow g(x_{i_n}). \tag{12}$$

Using (12) and (g, O)-continuity of F, we get

$$F(x_{i_1}^{(m)}, x_{i_2}^{(m)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{d} F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n})$$

so that

$$F(\mathrm{U}_i^{(m)*}) \xrightarrow{d} F(\mathrm{U}_i^*) \text{ for each } i \in I_n,$$

which, by using Proposition 6 gives rise

$$F_*(\mathrm{U}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\Delta_n} F_*(\mathrm{U}) \quad (\text{resp. } F_*(\mathrm{U}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{\nabla_n} F_*(\mathrm{U})).$$

Hence, F_* is (G, O)-continuous in both ordered metric spaces $(X^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ and $(X^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n}$).

(vi) Take a sequence $\{\mathrm{U}^{(m)}\} \subset E^n$ and a $\mathrm{U} \in E^n$ such that $\{\mathrm{U}^{(m)}\}$ is monotone (w.r.t. partial order $\sqsubseteq_{\iota_n}$) and

$$\mathrm{U}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\Delta_n} \mathrm{U} \quad (\text{resp. } \mathrm{U}^{(m)} \xrightarrow{\nabla_n} \mathrm{U}).$$

Write $\mathrm{U}^{(m)} = (x_1^{(m)}, x_2^{(m)}, ..., x_n^{(m)})$ and $\mathrm{U}= (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$. Then, by Proposition 6, we obtain

$$x_i^{(m)} \xrightarrow{d} x_i \text{ for each } i \in I_n. \tag{13}$$

Now, there are two possibilities:
Case (a) : If $\{\mathrm{U}^{(m)}\}$ is increasing, then for all $m, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $m < l$, we have

$$\mathrm{U}^{(m)} \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n} \mathrm{U}^{(l)},$$

or equivalently,

$$x_i^{(m)}) \preceq x_i^{(l)} \text{ for each } i \in A \text{ and } x_i^{(m)} \succeq x_i^{(l)} \text{ for each } i \in B. \tag{14}$$

On combining (13) and (14), we obtain

$$x_i^{(m)} \uparrow x_i \text{ for each } i \in A \text{ and } x_i^{(m)} \downarrow x_i \text{ for each } i \in B,$$

which on using *g-MCB* property of $(E, d, \preceq)$, gives rise

$$g(x_i^{(m)}) \preceq g(x_i) \text{ for each } i \in A \text{ and } g(x_i^{(m)}) \succeq g(x_i) \text{ for each } i \in B,$$

or equivalently,

$$G(\mathrm{U}^{(m)}) \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{U}).$$

It follows that $(E^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ (resp. $(E^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$) has *G-ICU* property.

Case (b) : If $\{\mathrm{U}^{(m)}\}$ is decreasing, then for all $m, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $m < l$, we have

$$\mathrm{U}^{(m)} \sqsupseteq_{\iota_n} \mathrm{U}^{(l)},$$

or equivalently,

$$x_i^{(m)}) \succeq x_i^{(l)} \text{ for each } i \in A \text{ and } x_i^{(m)} \preceq x_i^{(l)} \text{ for each } i \in B. \tag{15}$$

On combining (13) and (15), we obtain

$$x_i^{(m)} \downarrow x_i \text{ for each } i \in A \text{ and } x_i^{(m)} \uparrow x_i \text{ for each } i \in B,$$

which on using *g-MCB* property of $(E, d, \preceq)$, gives rise

$$g(x_i^{(m)}) \succeq g(x_i) \text{ for each } i \in A \text{ and } g(x_i^{(m)}) \preceq g(x_i) \text{ for each } i \in B,$$

or equivalently,

$$G(\mathrm{U}^{(m)}) \sqsupseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{U}).$$

It follows that $(E^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ (resp. $(E^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$) has *G-DCL* property. Hence, in both the cases, $(E^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ (resp. $(E^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$) has *G-MCB* property.

(vii) This result is directly followed from (vi) by setting $g = I$, the identity mapping.

5. Multi-tupled Coincidence Theorems for Compatible Mappings

In this section, we prove the results regarding the existence and uniqueness of $*$-coincidence points in ordered metric spaces for O-compatible mappings.

Theorem 1. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space, E an O-complete subspace of X and $* \in \mathcal{U}_{\iota_n}$. Let $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ be two mappings. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) $F(X^n) \subseteq g(X) \cap E$,
(ii) F has ι_n-mixed g-monotone property,
(iii) F and g are $(*, \mathrm{O})$-compatible,
(iv) g is O-continuous,
(v) either F is O-continuous or $(E, d, \preceq)$ has *g-MCB* property,

(vi) there exist $x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$\begin{cases} g(x_i^{(0)}) \preceq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in A \\ g(x_i^{(0)}) \succeq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in B \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} g(x_i^{(0)}) \succeq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in A \\ g(x_i^{(0)}) \preceq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in B, \end{cases}$$

(vii) there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \varphi\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(gx_i, gy_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$,

or alternately

(vii′) there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$\max_{i \in I_n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \varphi\Big(\max_{i \in I_n} d(gx_i, gy_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$.

Then F and g have an $*$-coincidence point.

Proof. We can induce two metrics Δ_n and ∇_n, patrial order $\sqsubseteq_{\iota_n}$ and two self-mappings F_* and G on X^n defined as in Section 4. By item (i) of Lemma 8, both ordered metric subspaces $(E^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ and $(E^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ are O-complete. Further,

(i) implies that $F_*(X^n) \subseteq G(X^n) \cap E^n$ by item (i) of Lemma 3,
(ii) implies that F_* is G-increasing in ordered set $(X^n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ by Lemma 5,
(iii) implies that F_* and G are O-compatible in both $(X^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ and $(X^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ by item (ii) of Lemma 8,
(iv) implies that G is O-continuous in both $(X^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ and $(X^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ by item (iii) of Lemma 8,
(v) implies that either F_* is O-continuous in both $(X^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ and $(X^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ or both $(E^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ and $(E^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ have *G-MCB* property by items (iv) and (vi) of Lemma 8
(vi) is equivalent to $G(\mathrm{U}^{(0)}) \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n} F_*(\mathrm{U}^{(0)})$ or $G(\mathrm{U}^{(0)}) \sqsupseteq_{\iota_n} F_*(\mathrm{U}^{(0)})$ where $\mathrm{U}^{(0)} = (x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)}) \in X^n$,

(vii) means that $\Delta_n(F_*\mathrm{U}, F_*\mathrm{V}) \leq \varphi(\Delta_n(G\mathrm{U}, G\mathrm{V}))$ for all $\mathrm{U}=(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, $\mathrm{V}=(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n) \in X^n$ with $G(\mathrm{U}) \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{V})$ or $G(\mathrm{U}) \sqsupseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{V})$,

(vii′) means that $\nabla_n(F_*\mathrm{U}, F_*\mathrm{V}) \leq \varphi(\nabla_n(G\mathrm{U}, G\mathrm{V}))$ for all $\mathrm{U}=(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, $\mathrm{V}=(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n) \in X^n$ with $G(\mathrm{U}) \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{V})$ or $G(\mathrm{U}) \sqsupseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{V})$.

Therefore, the conditions (i)-(vii) of Lemma 1 are satisfied in the context of ordered metric space $(X^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ or $(X^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ and two self-mappings F_* and G on X^n. Thus, by Lemma 1, F_* and G have a coincidence point, which is a $*$-coincidence point of F and g by item (iii) of Lemma 3.

Corollary 1. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an O-complete ordered metric space, $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings and $* \in \mathcal{U}_{\iota_n}$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) $F(X^n) \subseteq g(X)$,

(ii) F has ι_n-mixed g-monotone property,

(iii) F and g are $(*, \mathrm{O})$-compatible,

(iv) g is O-continuous,

(v) either F is O-continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *g-MCB* property,

(vi) there exist $x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$\begin{cases} g(x_i^{(0)}) \preceq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in A \\ g(x_i^{(0)}) \succeq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in B \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} g(x_i^{(0)}) \succeq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in A \\ g(x_i^{(0)}) \preceq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in B, \end{cases}$$

(vii) there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \varphi\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(gx_i, gy_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$,

or alternately

(vii′) there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$\max_{i \in I_n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \varphi\Big(\max_{i \in I_n} d(gx_i, gy_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$.

Then F and g have an $*$-coincidence point.

On using Remarks 2, 4, 8 and 9, we obtain a natural version of Theorem 1 as a consequence, which runs below:

Corollary 2. Theorem 1 remains true if the usual metrical terms namely: completeness, $*$-compatibility/commutativity and continuity are used instead of their respective O-analogous.

As increasing requirement on g together with *MCB* property implies *g-MCB* property, therefore the following consequence of Theorem 1 is immediately.

Corollary 3. Theorem 1 remains true if we replace the condition (v) by the following condition:

(v)$'$ g is increasing and $(E, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property.

Corollary 4. Theorem 1 remains true if we replace the condition (vii) by the following condition:

(vii)$'$ there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \leq \varphi\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(gx_i, gy_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ provided that $*$ is permuted.

Proof. Set U=$(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, V=$(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$ then we have $G(\mathrm{U}) \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{V})$ or $G(\mathrm{U}) \sqsupseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{V})$. As $G(\mathrm{U})$ and $G(\mathrm{V})$ are comparable, for each $i \in I_n$, $G(\mathrm{U}_i^*)$ and $G(\mathrm{V}_i^*)$ are comparable w.r.t. partial order $\sqsubseteq_{\iota_n}$ (owing to Lemma 4). Applying the contractivity condition (vii)$'$ on these points and using Lemma 6, for each $i \in I_n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) &\leq \varphi\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} d(gx_{i_k}, gy_{i_k})\Big) \\ &= \varphi\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} d(gx_j, gy_j)\Big) \text{ as } * \text{ is permuted} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \varphi\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} d(gx_j, gy_j)\Big) \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

Taking summation over $i \in I_n$ on both the sides of above inequality, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq n\varphi\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} d(gx_j, gy_j)\Big)$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \varphi\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} d(gx_j, gy_j)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$.

Therefore, the contractivity condition (vii) of Theorem 1 holds and hence Theorem 1 is applicable.

Corollary 5. Theorem 1 remains true if we replace the condition (vii′) by the following condition:

(vii′)′ there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \leq \varphi\Big(\max_{i\in I_n} d(gx_i, gy_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ provided that either $*$ is permuted or φ is increasing on $[0, \infty)$.

Proof. Set U=$(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, V=$(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$, then similar to previous corollary, for each $i \in I_n$, $G(\mathrm{U}_i^*)$ and $G(\mathrm{V}_i^*)$ are comparable w.r.t. partial order $\sqsubseteq_{\iota_n}$. Applying the contractivity condition (vii′)′ on these points and using Lemma 6, for each $i \in I_n$, we obtain

$$d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \varphi\Big(\max_{k\in I_n} d(gx_{i_k}, gy_{i_k})\Big)$$
$$\begin{cases} = \varphi\Big(\max\limits_{j\in I_n} d(gx_j, gy_j)\Big) \text{ if } * \text{ is permuted,} \\ \leq \varphi\Big(\max\limits_{j\in I_n} d(gx_j, gy_j)\Big) \text{ if } \varphi \text{ is inceasing.} \end{cases}$$

so that

$$d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \varphi\Big(\max_{j\in I_n} d(gx_i, gy_i)\Big) \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

Taking maximum over $i \in I_n$ on both the sides of above inequality, we obtain

$$\max_{i\in I_n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \varphi\Big(\max_{j\in I_n} d(gx_j, gy_j)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$.

Therefore, the contractivity condition (vii′) of Theorem 1 holds and hence Theorem 1 is applicable.

Now, we present multi-tupled coincidence theorems for linear and generalized linear contractions.

Corollary 6. In addition to the hypotheses (i)-(vi) of Theorem 1, suppose that one of the following conditions holds:

(viii) there exists $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \frac{\alpha}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(gx_i, gy_i)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$,

(ix) there exists $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that

$$\max_{i\in I_n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \alpha \max_{i\in I_n} d(gx_i, gy_i)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$.

Then F and g have an $*$-coincidence point.

Proof. On setting $\varphi(t) = \alpha t$ with $\alpha \in [0,1)$ in Theorem 1, we get our result.

Corollary 7. In addition to the hypotheses (i)-(vi) of Theorem 1, suppose that one of the following conditions holds:

(x) there exists $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \leq \alpha \max_{i\in I_n} d(gx_i, gy_i)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$,

(xi) there exists $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n \in [0,1)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i < 1$ such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i d(gx_i, gy_i)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$,

(xii) there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \leq \frac{\alpha}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d(gx_i, gy_i)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$.

Then F and g have an $*$-coincidence point.

Proof. Setting $\varphi(t) = \alpha t$ with $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ in Corollary 5, we get the result corresponding to the contractivity condition (x). Notice that here φ is increasing on $[0, \infty)$.

To prove the result corresponding to (xi), let $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i < 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i d(gx_i, gy_i) \\ &\leq \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \Big) \max_{j \in I_n} d(gx_j, gy_j) \\ &= \beta \max_{j \in I_n} d(gx_j, gy_j) \end{aligned}$$

so that our result follows from the result corresponding to (x).

Finally, setting $\alpha_i = \frac{\alpha}{n}$ for all $i \in I_n$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ in (xi), we get the result corresponding to (xii). Notice that here $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = \alpha < 1$.

Now, we present uniqueness results corresponding to Theorem 1, which run as follows:

Theorem 2. In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 1, suppose that for every pair $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, $(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n) \in X^n$, there exists $(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n) \in X^n$ such that $(gz_1, gz_2, ..., gz_n)$ is comparable to $(gx_1, gx_2, ..., gx_n)$ and $(gy_1, gy_2, ..., gy_n)$ w.r.t. partial order $\sqsubseteq_{\iota_n}$, then F and g have a unique point of $*$-coincidence, which remains also a unique common $*$-fixed point.

Proof. Set U=$(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, V=$(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$ and W=$(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)$, then by one of our assumptions G(W) is comparable to G(U) and G(V). Therefore, all the conditions of Lemma 1 are satisfied. Hence, by Lemma 1, F_* and G have a unique point of coincidence as well as a unique common fixed point, which is indeed a unique point of $*$-coincidence as well as a unique common $*$-fixed point of F and g by items (iv) and (v) of Lemma 3.

Theorem 3. In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 2, suppose that g is one-one, then F and g have a unique $*$-coincidence point.

Proof. Let U=$(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ and V=$(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$ be two $*$-coincidence point of F and g then then using Theorem 2, we obtain

$$(gx_1, gx_2, ..., gx_n) = (gy_1, gy_2, ..., gy_n)$$

or equivalently

$$g(x_i) = g(y_i) \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

As g is one-one, we have

$$x_i = y_i \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

It follows that U=V, *i.e.*, F and g have a unique $*$-coincidence point.

6. Multi-tupled Coincidence Theorems without Compatibility of mappings

In this section, we prove the results regarding the existence and uniqueness of $*$-coincidence points in an ordered metric space X for a pair of mappings $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$, which are not necessarily O-compatible.

Theorem 4. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space, E an O-complete subspace of X and $* \in \mathcal{U}_{\iota_n}$. Let $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ be two mappings. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) $F(X^n) \subseteq E \subseteq g(X)$,
(ii) F has ι_n-mixed g-monotone property,
(iii) either F is (g, O)-continuous or F and g are continuous or $(E, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property,
(iv) there exist $x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$\begin{cases} g(x_i^{(0)}) \preceq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in A \\ g(x_i^{(0)}) \succeq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in B \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} g(x_i^{(0)}) \succeq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in A \\ g(x_i^{(0)}) \preceq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in B, \end{cases}$$

(v) there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) = \varphi\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(gx_i, gy_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$,

or alternately

(v′) there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$\max_{i\in I_n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) = \varphi\Big(\max_{i\in I_n} d(gx_i, gy_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$.

Then F and g have an $*$-coincidence point.

Proof. We can induce two metrics Δ_n and ∇_n, patrial order $\sqsubseteq_{\iota_n}$ and two self-mappings F_* and G on X^n defined as in Section 4. By item (i) of Lemma 8, both ordered metric subspaces $(E^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ and $(E^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ are O-complete. Further,

(i) implies that $F_*(X^n) \subseteq E^n \subseteq G(X^n)$ by item (ii) of Lemma 3,

(ii) implies that F_* is G-increasing in ordered set $(X^n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ by Lemma 5,

(iii) implies that either F_* is (G, O)-continuous in both $(X^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ and $(X^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n}$) or F_* and G are continuous in both (X^n, Δ_n) and (X^n, ∇_n) or both $(E^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n}$) and $(E^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ have *MCB* property by Lemma 7 and items (v) and (vii) of Lemma 8,

(iv) is equivalent to $G(\mathrm{U}^{(0)}) \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n} F_*(\mathrm{U}^{(0)})$ or $G(\mathrm{U}^{(0)}) \sqsupseteq_{\iota_n} F_*(\mathrm{U}^{(0)})$ where $\mathrm{U}^{(0)} = (x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)}) \in X^n$,

(v) means that $\Delta_n(F_*\mathrm{U}, F_*\mathrm{V}) \leq \varphi(\Delta_n(G\mathrm{U}, G\mathrm{V}))$ for all $\mathrm{U}=(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, $\mathrm{V}=(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n) \in X^n$ with $G(\mathrm{U}) \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{V})$ or $G(\mathrm{U}) \sqsupseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{V})$,

(v′) means that $\nabla_n(F_*\mathrm{U}, F_*\mathrm{V}) \leq \varphi(\nabla_n(G\mathrm{U}, G\mathrm{V}))$ for all $\mathrm{U}=(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, $\mathrm{V}=(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n) \in X^n$ with $G(\mathrm{U}) \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{V})$ or $G(\mathrm{U}) \sqsupseteq_{\iota_n} G(\mathrm{V})$.

Therefore, the conditions (i)-(v) of Lemma 2 are satisfied in the context of ordered metric space $(X^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ or $(X^n, \nabla_n, \sqsubseteq_{\iota_n})$ and two self-mappings F_* and G on X^n. Thus, by Lemma 2, F_* and G have a coincidence point, which is a $*$-coincidence point

of F and g by item (iii) of Lemma 3.

Corollary 8. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an O-complete ordered metric space, $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings and $* \in \mathcal{U}_{\iota_n}$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) either g is onto or there exists an O-closed subspace E of X such that $f(X) \subseteq E \subseteq g(X)$,

(ii) F has ι_n-mixed g-monotone property,

(iii) either F is (g, O)-continuous or F and g are continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property,

(iv) there exist $x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$\begin{cases} g(x_i^{(0)}) \preceq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in A \\ g(x_i^{(0)}) \succeq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in B \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} g(x_i^{(0)}) \succeq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in A \\ g(x_i^{(0)}) \preceq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in B, \end{cases}$$

(v) there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) = \varphi\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(gx_i, gy_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$,

or alternately

(v′) there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$\max_{i \in I_n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) = \varphi\Big(\max_{i \in I_n} d(gx_i, gy_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$.

Then F and g have an $*$-coincidence point.

Proof. The result corresponding to first part of (i) (*i.e* in case that g is onto) is followed by taking $E = X = g(X)$ in Theorem 4. While the result corresponding to second alternating part of (i) (*i.e* in case that E is O-closed) is followed by using Proposition 1.

On using Remarks 2,3 and 8, we obtain a natural version of Theorem 4 as a consequence, which runs below:

Corollary 9. Theorem 4 (also Corollary 8) remains true if the usual metrical terms namely: completeness, closedness and g-continuity are used instead of their respective O-analogous.

Similar to Corollaries 4-6, the following consequences of Theorem 4 hold.

Corollary 10. Theorem 4 remains true if we replace the condition (v) by the following condition:

(v)$'$ there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \leq \varphi\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(gx_i, gy_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ provided that $*$ is permuted.

Corollary 11. Theorem 4 remains true if we replace the condition (v$'$) by the following condition:

(v$'$)$'$ there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \leq \varphi\Big(\max_{i \in I_n} d(gx_i, gy_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ provided that either $*$ is permuted or φ is increasing on $[0, \infty)$.

Corollary 12. In addition to the hypotheses (i)-(iv) of Theorem 4, suppose that one of the following conditions holds:

(vi) there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \frac{\alpha}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(gx_i, gy_i)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$,

(vii) there exists $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that

$$\max_{i\in I_n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \alpha \max_{i\in I_n} d(gx_i, gy_i)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$.

Then F and g have an $*$-coincidence point.

Corollary 13. In addition to the hypotheses (i)-(iv) of Theorem 4, suppose that one of the following conditions holds:

(viii) there exists $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \leq \alpha \max_{i\in I_n} d(gx_i, gy_i)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$,

(ix) there exists $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n \in [0,1)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i < 1$ such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i d(gx_i, gy_i)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$,

(x) there exists $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \leq \frac{\alpha}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d(gx_i, gy_i)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$.

Then F and g have an $*$-coincidence point.

Now, we present uniqueness results corresponding to Theorem 4, which run as follows:

Theorem 5. In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 4, suppose that for every pair $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, $(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n) \in X^n$, there exists $(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n) \in X^n$ such that $(gz_1, gz_2, ..., gz_n)$ is comparable to $(gx_1, gx_2, ..., gx_n)$ and $(gy_1, gy_2, ..., gy_n)$ w.r.t. partial

order $\sqsubseteq_{\iota_n}$, then F and g have a unique point of $*$-coincidence.

Proof. Set U=$(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, V=$(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$ and W=$(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)$, then by one of our assumptions $G(\mathrm{W})$ is comparable to $G(\mathrm{U})$ and $G(\mathrm{V})$. Therefore, all the conditions of Lemma 2 are satisfied. Hence, by Lemma 2, F_* and G have a unique point of coincidence, which is indeed a unique point of $*$-coincidence of F and g by item (iv) of Lemma 3.

Theorem 6. In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 5, suppose that g is one-one, then F and g have a unique $*$-coincidence point.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 6 is similar to that of Theorem 3.

Theorem 7. In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 5, suppose that F and g are weakly $*$-compatible, then F and g have a unique common $*$-fixed point.

Proof. Let $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ be a $*$-coincidence point of F and g. Write $F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}) = g(x_i) = \overline{x}_i$ for each $i \in I_n$. Then, by Proposition 5, $(\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2, ..., \overline{x}_n)$ being a point of $*$-coincidence of F and g is also a $*$-coincidence point of F and g. It follows from Theorem 5 that

$$(gx_1, gx_2, ..., gx_n) = (g\overline{x}_1, g\overline{x}_2, ..., g\overline{x}_n)$$

i.e., $\overline{x_i} = g(\overline{x_i})$ for each $i \in I_n$, which for each $i \in I_n$ yields that

$$F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}) = g(\overline{x_i}) = \overline{x_i}.$$

Hence, $(\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2, ..., \overline{x}_n)$ is a common $*$-fixed point of F and g. To prove uniqueness, assume that $(x_1^*, x_2^*, ..., x_n^*)$ is another common $*$-fixed point of F and g. Then again from Theorem 5,

$$(gx_1^*, gx_2^*, ..., gx_n^*) = (g\overline{x}_1, g\overline{x}_2, ..., g\overline{x}_n)$$

i.e.

$$(x_1^*, x_2^*, ..., x_n^*) = (\overline{x}_1, \overline{x}_2, ..., \overline{x}_n).$$

This completes the proof.

7. Multi-tupled Fixed Point Theorems

On particularizing $g = I$, the identity mapping on X, in the foregoing results contained in Sections 5 and 6, we obtain the corresponding $*$-fixed point results, which run as follows:

Theorem 8. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space, $F : X^n \to X$ a mapping and $* \in \mathcal{U}_{\iota_n}$. Let E be an O-complete subspace of X such that $F(X^n) \subseteq E$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) F has ι_n-mixed monotone property,

(ii) either F is O-continuous or $(E, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property,

(iii) there exist $x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$\begin{cases} x_i^{(0)} \preceq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in A \\ x_i^{(0)} \succeq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in B \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} x_i^{(0)} \succeq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in A \\ x_i^{(0)} \preceq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in B, \end{cases}$$

(iv) there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \varphi\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(x_i, y_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in B]$,

or alternately

(iv′) there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$\max_{i \in I_n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \varphi\Big(\max_{i \in I_n} d(x_i, y_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in B]$.

Then F has an $*$-fixed point.

Corollary 14. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an O-complete ordered metric space, $F : X^n \to X$ a mapping and $* \in \mathcal{U}_{\iota_n}$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) F has ι_n-mixed monotone property,

(ii) either F is O-continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property,

(iii) there exist $x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$\begin{cases} x_i^{(0)} \preceq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in A \\ x_i^{(0)} \succeq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in B \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} x_i^{(0)} \succeq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in A \\ x_i^{(0)} \preceq F(x_{i_1}^{(0)}, x_{i_2}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i_n}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in B, \end{cases}$$

(iv) there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \varphi\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(x_i, y_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with [$x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in B$] or [$x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in B$],

or alternately

(iv′) there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$\max_{i\in I_n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \varphi\Big(\max_{i\in I_n} d(x_i, y_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with [$x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in B$] or [$x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in B$].

Then F has an $*$-fixed point.

Corollary 15. Theorem 8 remains true if the usual metrical terms namely: completeness and continuity are used instead of their respective O-analogous.

Corollary 16. Theorem 8 remains true if we replace the condition (iv) by the following condition:

(iv)′ there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \leq \varphi\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(x_i, y_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with [$x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in B$] or [$x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in B$] provided that $*$ is permuted.

Corollary 17. Theorem 8 remains true if we replace the condition (iv′) by the following condition:

(iv′)′ there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \leq \varphi\Big(\max_{i\in I_n} d(x_i, y_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with [$x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in B$] or [$x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in B$] provided that either $*$ is permuted or φ is increasing on $[0, \infty)$.

Corollary 18. Theorem 8 remains true if we replace the condition (iv) by the following condition:

(v) there exists $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \frac{\alpha}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(x_i, y_i)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \succeq y_i)$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in B]$,

(vi) there exists $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that

$$\max_{i \in I_n} d(F(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, ..., x_{i_n}), F(y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, ..., y_{i_n})) \leq \alpha \max_{i \in I_n} d(x_i, y_i)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in B]$.

Corollary 19. Theorem 8 remains true if we replace the condition (iv) by the following condition:

(vii) there exists $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \leq \alpha \max_{i \in I_n} d(x_i, y_i)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in B]$.

(viii) there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n \in [0,1)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i < 1$ such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i d(x_i, y_i)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in B]$.

(ix) there exists $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \leq \frac{\alpha}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(x_i, y_i)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in B]$ or $[x_i \succeq y_i$ for each $i \in A$ and $x_i \preceq y_i$ for each $i \in B]$.

Theorem 9. In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 8, suppose that for every pair $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, $(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n) \in X^n$, there exists $(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n) \in X^n$ such that $(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)$ is comparable to $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ and $(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$ w.r.t. partial order $\sqsubseteq_{\iota_n}$, then F has a unique $*$-fixed point.

8. Particular Cases

8.1. **Coupled Fixed/Coincidence Theorems.**

On setting $n = 2$, $\iota_2 = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$ and $* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ in Corollaries 2,3,4,10,16,18,19, we obtain the following results (*i.e.* Corollaries 20-26).

Corollary 20 (Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [16]). Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered complete metric space and $F : X^2 \to X$ a mapping. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) F has mixed monotone property,
(ii) either F is continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property,
(iii) there exist $x^{(0)}, y^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$x^{(0)} \preceq F(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) \text{ and } y^{(0)} \succeq F(y^{(0)}, x^{(0)})$$

(iv) there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}[d(x, u) + d(y, v)]$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ with $x \preceq u$ and $y \succeq v$.

Then F has a coupled fixed point.

Corollary 21 (Berinde [49]). Corollary 20 remains true if we replace conditions (iii) and (iv) by the following respective conditions:

(iii)$'$ there exist $x^{(0)}, y^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$x^{(0)} \preceq F(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) \text{ and } y^{(0)} \succeq F(y^{(0)}, x^{(0)})$$

or

$$x^{(0)} \succeq F(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) \text{ and } y^{(0)} \preceq F(y^{(0)}, x^{(0)})$$

(iv)$'$ there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + d(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \leq \alpha[d(x, u) + d(y, v)]$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ with $x \preceq u$ and $y \succeq v$.

Corollary 22 (Wu and Liu [52], Sintunavarat and Kumam [55]). Corollary 20 remains true if we replace condition (iv) by the following condition:

(iv)$''$ there exists $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \varphi\left(\frac{d(x, u) + d(y, v)}{2}\right)$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ with $x \preceq u$ and $y \succeq v$.

Corollary 23 (Lakshmikantham and Ćirić [18]). Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered complete metric space and $F : X^2 \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) $F(X^2) \subseteq g(X)$,
(ii) F has mixed g-monotone property,
(iii) F and g are commuting,
(iv) g is continuous,
(v) either F is continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has g-*MCB* property,
(vi) there exist $x^{(0)}, y^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$x^{(0)} \preceq F(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) \text{ and } y^{(0)} \succeq F(y^{(0)}, x^{(0)})$$

(vii) there exists $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \varphi\left(\frac{d(gx, gu) + d(yg, gv)}{2}\right)$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ with $g(x) \preceq g(u)$ and $g(y) \succeq g(v)$.

Then F and g have a coupled coincidence point.

Corollary 24 (Choudhury and Kundu [56]). Corollary 23 remains true if we replace conditions (iii), (iv) and (v) by the following respective conditions:

(iii)$'$ F and g are compatible,
(iv)$'$ g is continuous and increasing,
(v)$'$ either F is continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property.

Corollary 25 (Berinde [57]). Corollary 23 remains true if we replace conditions (vi) and (vii) by the following respective conditions:

(vi)$'$ there exist $x^{(0)}, y^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$g(x^{(0)}) \preceq F(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) \text{ and } g(y^{(0)}) \succeq F(y^{(0)}, x^{(0)})$$

or

$$g(x^{(0)}) \succeq F(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) \text{ and } g(y^{(0)}) \preceq F(y^{(0)}, x^{(0)})$$

(vii)$'$ there exists $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) + d(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \leq 2\varphi\left(\frac{d(gx, gu) + d(gy, gv)}{2}\right)$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ with $g(x) \preceq g(u)$ and $g(y) \succeq g(v)$.

Corollary 26 (Husain *et al.* [58], Sintunavarat and Kumam [55]). Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space and $F : X^2 \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings. Let (gX, d) be complete subspace. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) $F(X^2) \subseteq g(X)$,
(ii) F has mixed g-monotone property,
(iii) g is continuous,
(iv) either F is continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property,
(v) there exist $x^{(0)}, y^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$x^{(0)} \preceq F(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) \text{ and } y^{(0)} \succeq F(y^{(0)}, x^{(0)})$$

(vi) there exists $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \leq \varphi\left(\frac{d(gx, gu) + d(gy, gv)}{2}\right)$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ with $g(x) \preceq g(u)$ and $g(y) \succeq g(v)$.

Then F and g have a coupled coincidence point.

8.2. **Tripled Fixed/Coincidence Theorems.**

On setting $n = 3$, $\iota_3 = \{\{1, 3\}, \{2\}\}$ and $* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ in Corollaries 2,3,5,7,9,13,19, we obtain the following results (*i.e.* Corollaries 27-32).

Corollary 27 (Berinde and Borcut [19]). Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered complete metric space and $F : X^3 \to X$ a mapping. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) F has alternating mixed monotone property,
(ii) either F is continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property,
(iii) there exist $x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$x^{(0)} \preceq F(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}), y^{(0)} \succeq F(y^{(0)}, x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) \text{ and } z^{(0)} \preceq F(z^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, x^{(0)}),$$

(iv) there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ such that

$$d(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \leq \alpha d(x, u) + \beta d(y, v) + \gamma d(z, w)$$

for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ with $x \preceq u$, $y \succeq v$ and $z \preceq w$.

Then F has a tripled fixed point (in the sense of Berinde and Borcut [19]), *i.e.*, there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that $F(x, y, z) = x$, $F(y, x, y) = y$ and $F(z, y, x) = z$.

Corollary 28 (Borcut and Berinde [59]). Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered complete metric space and $F : X^3 \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) $F(X^3) \subseteq g(X)$,
(ii) F has alternating mixed g-monotone property,
(iii) F and g are commuting,
(iv) g is continuous,
(v) either F is continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has g-*MCB* property,
(vi) there exist $x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$g(x^{(0)}) \preceq F(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}), g(y^{(0)}) \succeq F(y^{(0)}, x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) \text{ and } g(z^{(0)}) \preceq F(z^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, x^{(0)}),$$

(vii) there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ such that

$$d(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \leq \alpha d(gx, gu) + \beta d(gy, gv) + \gamma d(gz, gw)$$

for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ with $g(x) \preceq g(u)$, $g(y) \succeq g(v)$ and $g(z) \preceq g(w)$.

Then F and g have a tripled coincidence point (in the sense of Berinde and Borcut [19]), *i.e.*, there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that $F(x, y, z) = g(x)$, $F(y, x, y) = g(y)$ and $F(z, y, x) = g(z)$.

Corollary 29 (Borcut [60]). Corollary 28 remains true if we replace condition (vii) by the following condition:

(vii)′ there exists $\varphi \in \Phi$ provided φ is increasing such that

$$d(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \leq \varphi\big(\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\}\big)$$

for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ with $g(x) \preceq g(u)$, $g(y) \succeq g(v)$ and $g(z) \preceq g(w)$.

Corollary 30 (Choudhury *et al.* [61]). Corollary 29 remains true if we replace conditions (iii) and (v) by the following conditions respectively:

(iii)′ F and g are compatible,
(v)′ either F is continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property provided g is increasing.

Corollary 31 (Husain *et al.* [58]). Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space and $F : X^3 \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings. Let (gX, d) be complete subspace. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) $F(X^3) \subseteq g(X)$,
(ii) F has alternating mixed g-monotone property,
(iii) g is continuous,
(iv) either F is continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property,

(v) there exist $x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$g(x^{(0)}) \preceq F(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}), g(y^{(0)}) \succeq F(y^{(0)}, x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) \text{ and } g(z^{(0)}) \preceq F(z^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, x^{(0)}),$$

(vi) there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ such that

$$d(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \leq \alpha d(gx, gu) + \beta d(gy, gv) + \gamma d(gz, gw)$$

for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ with $g(x) \preceq g(u)$, $g(y) \succeq g(v)$ and $g(z) \preceq g(w)$.

Then F and g have a tripled coincidence point (in the sense of Berinde and Borcut [19]), *i.e.*, there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that $F(x, y, z) = g(x)$, $F(y, x, y) = g(y)$ and $F(z, y, x) = g(z)$.

Corollary 32 (Radenović [53]). Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space and $F : X^3 \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) $F(X^3) \subseteq g(X)$,

(ii) F has alternating mixed g-monotone property,

(iii) there exist $x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$g(x^{(0)}) \preceq F(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}), g(y^{(0)}) \succeq F(y^{(0)}, x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) \text{ and } g(z^{(0)}) \preceq F(z^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, x^{(0)}) \text{ or}$$

$$g(x^{(0)}) \succeq F(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}), g(y^{(0)}) \preceq F(y^{(0)}, x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) \text{ and } g(z^{(0)}) \succeq F(z^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, x^{(0)}),$$

(iv) there exists $\varphi \in \Phi$ provided φ is increasing such that

$$\max\{d(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)), d(F(y, x, y), F(v, u, v)), d(F(z, y, x), F(w, v, u))\}$$

$$\leq \varphi\big(\max\{d(gx, gu), d(gy, gv), d(gz, gw)\}\big)$$

for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ with $[g(x) \preceq g(u)$, $g(y) \succeq g(v)$ and $g(z) \preceq g(w)]$ or $[g(x) \succeq g(u)$, $g(y) \preceq g(v)$ and $g(z) \succeq g(w)]$,

(v) F and g are continuous and compatible and (X, d) is complete, or

(v′) $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property and one of $F(X^3)$ or $g(X)$ is complete.

Then F and g have a tripled coincidence point (in the sense of Berinde and Borcut [19]), *i.e.*, there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that $F(x, y, z) = g(x)$, $F(y, x, y) = g(y)$ and $F(z, y, x) = g(z)$.

On setting $n = 3$, $\iota_3 = \{\{1, 3\}, \{2\}\}$ and $* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ in Corollary 19, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 33 (Wu and Liu [30]). Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered complete metric space and $F : X^3 \to X$ a mapping. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) F has alternating mixed monotone property,

(ii) either F is continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property,

(iii) there exist $x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$x^{(0)} \preceq F(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}), y^{(0)} \succeq F(y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, y^{(0)}) \text{ and } z^{(0)} \preceq F(z^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, x^{(0)}),$$

(iv) there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ such that

$$d(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \leq \alpha d(x, u) + \beta d(y, v) + \gamma d(z, w)$$

for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ with $x \preceq u$, $y \succeq v$ and $z \preceq w$.

Then F has a tripled fixed point (in the sense of Wu and Liu [30]), *i.e.*, there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that $F(x, y, z) = x$, $F(y, z, y) = y$ and $F(z, y, x) = z$.

On setting $n = 3$, $\iota_3 = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}$ and $* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ in Corollary 19, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 34 (Berzig and Samet [25]). Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered complete metric space and $F : X^3 \to X$ a mapping. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) F has 2-mixed monotone property,

(ii) either F is continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property,

(iii) there exist $x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$x^{(0)} \preceq F(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}), y^{(0)} \preceq F(y^{(0)}, x^{(0)}, z^{(0)}) \text{ and } z^{(0)} \succeq F(z^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, y^{(0)}),$$

(iv) there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ such that

$$d(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \leq \alpha d(x, u) + \beta d(y, v) + \gamma d(z, w)$$

for all $x, y, z, u, v, w \in X$ with $x \preceq u$, $y \preceq v$ and $z \succeq w$.

Then F has a tripled fixed point (in the sense of Berzig and Samet [25]), *i.e.*, there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that $F(x, y, z) = x$, $F(y, x, z) = y$, $F(z, z, y) = z$.

8.3. **Quadrupled Fixed/Coincidence Theorems.**

On setting $n = 4$, $\iota_4 = \{\{1, 3\}, \{2, 4\}\}$ and $* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ in Corollaries 4,7,19, we obtain the following results (*i.e.* Corollaries 35-37).

Corollary 35 (Karapinar and Luong [20]). Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered complete metric space and $F : X^4 \to X$ a mapping. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) F has alternating mixed monotone property,
(ii) either F is continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property,
(iii) there exist $x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, w^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$x^{(0)} \preceq F(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, w^{(0)}),$$

$$y^{(0)} \succeq F(y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, w^{(0)}, x^{(0)}),$$

$$z^{(0)} \preceq F(z^{(0)}, w^{(0)}, x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}),$$

$$w^{(0)} \succeq F(w^{(0)}, x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}),$$

(iv) there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta < 1$ such that

$$d(F(x, y, z, w), F(u, v, r, t)) \leq \alpha d(gx, gu) + \beta d(y, v) + \gamma d(z, r) + \delta d(w, t)$$

for all $x, y, z, w, u, v, r, t \in X$ with $x \preceq u$, $y \succeq v$, $z \preceq r$ and $w \succeq t$.

Then F has a quadrupled fixed point (in the sense of Karapinar and Luong [20]), *i.e.*, there exist $x, y, z, w \in X$ such that

$$F(x, y, z, w) = x, F(y, z, w, x) = y,$$

$$F(z, w, x, y) = z, F(w, x, y, z) = w.$$

Corollary 36 (Liu [62]). Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered complete metric space and $F : X^4 \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) $F(X^4) \subseteq g(X)$,
(ii) F has alternating mixed g-monotone property,
(iii) F and g are commuting,
(iv) g is continuous,
(v) either F is continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has g-*MCB* property,
(vi) there exist $x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, w^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$g(x^{(0)}) \preceq F(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, w^{(0)}),$$

$$g(y^{(0)}) \succeq F(y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, w^{(0)}, x^{(0)}),$$

$$g(z^{(0)}) \preceq F(z^{(0)}, w^{(0)}, x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}),$$

$$g(w^{(0)}) \succeq F(w^{(0)}, x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}),$$

(vii) there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta < 1$ such that

$$d(F(x, y, z, w), F(u, v, r, t)) \leq \alpha d(gx, gu) + \beta d(gy, gv) + \gamma d(gz, gr) + \delta d(gw, gt)$$

for all $x, y, z, w, u, v, r, t \in X$ with $g(x) \preceq g(u)$, $g(y) \succeq g(v)$, $g(z) \preceq g(r)$ and $g(w) \succeq g(t)$.

Then F and g have a quadrupled coincidence point (in the sense of Karapinar and Luong [20]), *i.e.*, there exist $x, y, z, w \in X$ such that

$$F(x, y, z, w) = g(x), F(y, z, w, x) = g(y),$$

$$F(z, w, x, y) = g(z), F(w, x, y, z) = g(w).$$

Corollary 37 (Karapinar and Berinde [63]). Corollary 36 remains true if we replace condition (vii) by the following condition:

(vii)′ there exists $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that

$$d(F(x, y, z, w), F(u, v, r, t)) \leq \varphi\left(\frac{d(gx, gu) + d(gy, gv) + d(gz, gr) + d(gw, gt)}{4}\right)$$

for all $x, y, z, w, u, v, r, t \in X$ with $g(x) \preceq g(u)$, $g(y) \succeq g(v)$, $g(z) \preceq g(r)$ and $g(w) \succeq g(t)$.

On setting $n = 4$, $\iota_4 = \{\{1, 3\}, \{2, 4\}\}$ and $* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ in Corollary 19, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 38 (Wu and Liu [30]). Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered complete metric space and $F : X^4 \to X$ a mapping. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) F has alternating mixed monotone property,

(ii) either F is continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property,

(iii) there exist $x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, w^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$x^{(0)} \preceq F(x^{(0)}, w^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, y^{(0)}),$$

$$y^{(0)} \succeq F(y^{(0)}, x^{(0)}, w^{(0)}, z^{(0)}),$$

$$z^{(0)} \preceq F(z^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, x^{(0)}, w^{(0)}),$$

$$w^{(0)} \succeq F(w^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, x^{(0)}),$$

(iv) there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta < 1$ such that

$$d(F(x, y, z, w), F(u, v, r, t)) \leq \alpha d(x, u) + \beta d(y, v) + \gamma d(z, r) + \delta d(w, t)$$

for all $x, y, z, w, u, v, r, t \in X$ with $x \preceq u$, $y \succeq v$, $z \preceq r$ and $w \succeq t$.

Then F has a quadrupled fixed point (in the sense of Wu and Liu [30]), *i.e.*, there exist $x, y, z, w \in X$ such that

$$F(x, w, z, y) = x, F(y, x, w, z) = y,$$

$$F(z, y, x, w) = z, F(w, z, y, x) = w.$$

On setting $n = 4$, $\iota_4 = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\}$ and $* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ in Corollary 19, we obtain respectively the following result:

Corollary 39 (Berzig and Samet [25]). Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered complete metric space and $F : X^4 \to X$ a mapping. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) F has 2-mixed monotone property,
(ii) either F is continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has MCB property,
(iii) there exist $x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, w^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$x^{(0)} \preceq F(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, z^{(0)}, w^{(0)}),$$

$$y^{(0)} \preceq F(x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, w^{(0)}, z^{(0)}),$$

$$z^{(0)} \succeq F(z^{(0)}, w^{(0)}, y^{(0)}, x^{(0)}),$$

$$w^{(0)} \succeq F(z^{(0)}, w^{(0)}, x^{(0)}, y^{(0)}),$$

(iv) there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta < 1$ such that

$$d(F(x, y, z, w), F(u, v, r, t)) \leq \alpha d(x, u) + \beta d(y, v) + \gamma d(z, r) + \delta d(w, t)$$

for all $x, y, z, w, u, v, r, t \in X$ with $x \preceq u$, $y \preceq v$, $z \succeq r$ and $w \succeq t$.

Then F has a quadrupled fixed point (in the sense of Berzig and Samet [25]), *i.e.*, there exist $x, y, z, w \in X$ such that

$$F(x, y, z, w) = x, F(x, y, w, z) = y,$$

$$F(z, w, y, x) = z, F(z, w, x, y) = w.$$

8.4. **Four fundamental n-tupled Coincidence Theorems.**

In this subsection, we assume $\iota_n = \{O_n, E_n\}$, where

$$O_n = \left\{2p - 1 \ : \ p \in \left\{1, 2, ..., \left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]\right\}\right\},$$

i.e., the set of all odd natural numbers in I_n and

$$E_n = \left\{2p \ : \ p \in \left\{1, 2, ..., \left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right\}\right\},$$

i.e., the set of all even natural numbers in I_n.

On setting

$$*(i,k) = i_k = \begin{cases} i+k-1 & 1 \le k \le n-i+1 \\ i+k-n-1 & n-i+2 \le k \le n \end{cases}$$

for even n in Corollaries 4 and 10, we obtain the following result, which extends the main results of Imdad *et al.* [21], Imdad *et al.* [64], Husain *et al.* [65] and Dalal *et al.* [66].

Corollary 40. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space, E an O-complete subspace of X and n an even natural number. Let $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ be two mappings. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(a) $F(X^n) \subseteq g(X) \cap E$,

(b) F has alternating mixed g-monotone property,

(c) there exist $x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$\begin{cases} g(x_i^{(0)}) \preceq F(x_i^{(0)}, x_{i+1}^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)}, x_1^{(0)}, ..., x_{i-1}^{(0)}) \text{ if } i \text{ is odd} \\ g(x_i^{(0)}) \succeq F(x_i^{(0)}, x_{i+1}^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)}, x_1^{(0)}, ..., x_{i-1}^{(0)}) \text{ if } i \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} g(x_i^{(0)}) \succeq F(x_i^{(0)}, x_{i+1}^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)}, x_1^{(0)}, ..., x_{i-1}^{(0)}) \text{ if } i \text{ is odd} \\ g(x_i^{(0)}) \preceq F(x_i^{(0)}, x_{i+1}^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)}, x_1^{(0)}, ..., x_{i-1}^{(0)}) \text{ if } i \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

(d) there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \le \varphi\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(gx_i, gy_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ if i is odd and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ if i is even] or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ if i is odd and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ if i is even],

(e) $(e1)$ F and g are O-compatible,

$(e2)$ g is O-continuous,

$(e3)$ either F is O-continuous or $(E, d, \preceq)$ has g-*MCB* property

or alternately

(e') $(e'1)$ $E \subseteq g(X)$,

$(e'2)$ either F is (g, O)-continuous or F and g are continuous or $(E, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property.

Then F and g have a forward cyclic n-tupled coincidence point, *i.e.*, there exist $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$ such that

$$F(x_i, x_{i+1}, ..., x_n, x_1, ..., x_{i-1}) = g(x_i) \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

On setting

$$*(i,k) = i_k = \begin{cases} i+k-1 & 1 \le k \le n-i+1 \\ i+k-n-1 & n-i+2 \le k \le n \end{cases}$$

for even n in Corollaries 5 and 11, we obtain the following result, which extends the main results of Dalal [67].

Corollary 41. Corollary 40 remains true if we replace condition (d) by the following condition:

$(d)'$ there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \leq \varphi\Big(\max_{i \in I_n} d(gx_i, gy_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ if i is odd and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ if i is even] or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ if i is odd and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ if i is even].

On setting

$$*(i,k) = i_k = \begin{cases} i-k+1 & 1 \leq k \leq i \\ n+i-k+1 & i+1 \leq k \leq n-1 \end{cases}$$

for even n in Corollaries 4 and 10 (similarly Corollaries 5 and 11), we obtain the following result:

Corollary 42. If in the hypotheses of Corollary 40 (similarly Corollary 41), the condition (c) is replaced by the following condition:

$(c)'$ there exist $x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$\begin{cases} g(x_i^{(0)}) \preceq F(x_i^{(0)}, x_{i-1}^{(0)}, ..., x_1^{(0)}, x_n^{(0)}, x_{n-1}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i+1}^{(0)}) \text{ if } i \text{ is odd} \\ g(x_i^{(0)}) \succeq F(x_i^{(0)}, x_{i-1}^{(0)}, ..., x_1^{(0)}, x_n^{(0)}, x_{n-1}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i+1}^{(0)}) \text{ if } i \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} g(x_i^{(0)}) \succeq F(x_i^{(0)}, x_{i-1}^{(0)}, ..., x_1^{(0)}, x_n^{(0)}, x_{n-1}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i+1}^{(0)}) \text{ if } i \text{ is odd} \\ g(x_i^{(0)}) \preceq F(x_i^{(0)}, x_{i-1}^{(0)}, ..., x_1^{(0)}, x_n^{(0)}, x_{n-1}^{(0)}, ..., x_{i+1}^{(0)}) \text{ if } i \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

then F and g have a backward cyclic n-tupled coincidence point, *i.e.*, there exist $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \in X$ such that

$$F(x_i, x_{i-1}, ..., x_1, x_n, x_{n-1}, ..., x_{i+1}) = g(x_i) \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

The following result improves Theorem 2.1 of Karapinar and Roldán [31].

Corollary 43. Corollary 40 (resp. Corollary 41 or Corollary 42) is not valid for any odd natural number n.

Proof. In view Remark 6, to ensure the existence of $*$-fixed point (for a mapping satisfying ι_n-mixed monotone property), $* \in \mathcal{U}_{\iota_n}$ but in these cases $* \notin \mathcal{U}_{\iota_n}$. To substantiate

this, take particularly, $n = 3$ and $* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ (in case of forward cyclic n-tupled fixed points). Then $*(2,3) = 1 \notin B$, $*(3,2) = 1 \notin B$, $*(3,3) = 2 \notin A$. Similar arguments can be produced in case of backward cyclic n-tupled fixed points.

On setting

$$*(i,k) = i_k = \begin{cases} i-k+1 & 1 \leq k \leq i \\ k-i+1 & i+1 \leq k \leq n \end{cases}$$

in Corollaries 5 and 11, we obtain the following result, which extends the main results of Gordji and Ramezani [22] and Imdad *et al.* [68].

Corollary 44. Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space and E an O-complete subspace of X. Let $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ be two mappings. Suppose that the following conditions hold:
(a) $F(X^n) \subseteq g(X) \cap E$,
(b) F has alternating mixed g-monotone property,
(c) there exist $x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$\begin{cases} g(x_i^{(0)}) \preceq F(x_i^{(0)}, x_{i-1}^{(0)}, ..., x_2^{(0)}, x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_{n-i+1}^{(0)}) \text{ if } i \text{ is odd} \\ g(x_i^{(0)}) \succeq F(x_i^{(0)}, x_{i-1}^{(0)}, ..., x_2^{(0)}, x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_{n-i+1}^{(0)}) \text{ if } i \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} g(x_i^{(0)}) \succeq F(x_i^{(0)}, x_{i-1}^{(0)}, ..., x_2^{(0)}, x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_{n-i+1}^{(0)}) \text{ if } i \text{ is odd} \\ g(x_i^{(0)}) \preceq F(x_i^{(0)}, x_{i-1}^{(0)}, ..., x_2^{(0)}, x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_{n-i+1}^{(0)}) \text{ if } i \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

(d) there exists $\varphi \in \Omega$ provided φ is increasing such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \leq \varphi\Big(\max_{i \in I_n} d(gx_i, gy_i) \Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $[g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ if i is odd and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ if i is even] or $[g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ if i is odd and $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ if i is even],
(e) $(e1)$ F and g are O-compatible,
$(e2)$ g is O-continuous,
$(e3)$ either F is O-continuous or $(E, d, \preceq)$ has g-*MCB* property
or alternately
(e') $(e'1)$ $E \subseteq g(X)$,
$(e'2)$ either F is (g, O)-continuous or F and g are continuous or $(E, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property.

Then F and g have a 1-skew cyclic n-tupled coincidence point, *i.e.*, there exist $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \in X$ such that

$$F(x_i, x_{i-1}, ..., x_2, x_1, x_2, ..., x_{n-i+1}) = g(x_i) \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

On setting

$$*(i,k) = i_k = \begin{cases} i+k-1 & 1 \leq k \leq n-i+1 \\ 2n-i-k+1 & n-i+2 \leq k \leq n \end{cases}$$

in Corollaries 5 and 11, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 45. If in the hypotheses of Corollary 44, the condition (c) is replaced by the following condition

$(c)'$ there exist $x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$\begin{cases} g(x_i^{(0)}) \preceq F(x_i^{(0)}, x_{i+1}^{(0)}, ..., x_{n-1}^{(0)}, x_n^{(0)}, x_{n-1}^{(0)}, ..., x_{n-i+1}^{(0)}) \text{ if } i \text{ is odd} \\ g(x_i^{(0)}) \succeq F(x_i^{(0)}, x_{i+1}^{(0)}, ..., x_{n-1}^{(0)}, x_n^{(0)}, x_{n-1}^{(0)}, ..., x_{n-i+1}^{(0)}) \text{ if } i \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} g(x_i^{(0)}) \succeq F(x_i^{(0)}, x_{i+1}^{(0)}, ..., x_{n-1}^{(0)}, x_n^{(0)}, x_{n-1}^{(0)}, ..., x_{n-i+1}^{(0)}) \text{ if } i \text{ is odd} \\ g(x_i^{(0)}) \preceq F(x_i^{(0)}, x_{i+1}^{(0)}, ..., x_{n-1}^{(0)}, x_n^{(0)}, x_{n-1}^{(0)}, ..., x_{n-i+1}^{(0)}) \text{ if } i \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

then F and g have an n-skew cyclic n-tupled coincidence point, *i.e.*, there exist $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \in X$ such that

$$F(x_i, x_{i+1}, ..., x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n-1}, ..., x_{n-i+1}) = g(x_i) \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

8.5. **Berzig-Samet higher dimensional fixed/coincidence point Theorems.**

On setting $\iota_n = \{\{1, 2, ..., p\}, \{p+1, ..., n\}\}$ and $*(i,k) = i_k = \begin{cases} \varphi_i(k) & 1 \leq k \leq p \\ \psi_i(k) & p < k \leq n \end{cases}$ (where φ_1,...,φ_n,ψ_1,...,ψ_n are arbitrary) in Corollary 19 and Corollary 5, we obtain respectively the following results:

Corollary 46 (Berzig and Samet [25]). Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered complete metric space, $F : X^n \to X$ a mapping and p a natural number such that $1 \leq p < n$. Let $\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_p : \{1, ..., p\} \to \{1, ..., p\}$, $\psi_1, ..., \psi_p : \{p+1, ..., n\} \to \{p+1, ..., n\}$, $\varphi_{p+1}, ..., \varphi_n : \{1, ..., p\} \to \{p+1, ..., n\}$ and $\psi_{p+1}, ..., \psi_n : \{p+1, ..., n\} \to \{1, ..., p\}$ be $2n$ mappings. Also denote $x[\varphi(i : i+j)] := (x_{\varphi(i)}, x_{\varphi(i+1)}, ..., x_{\varphi(i+j)})$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) F has p-mixed monotone property,
(ii) either F is continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has MCB property,

(iii) there exists $\mathrm{U}^{(0)} = (x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)}) \in X^n$ such that

$$x_1^{(0)} \preceq F\big(x^{(0)}[\varphi_1(1:p)], x^{(0)}[\psi_1(p+1:n)]\big)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_p^{(0)} \preceq F\big(x^{(0)}[\varphi_p(1:p)], x^{(0)}[\psi_p(p+1:n)]\big)$$

$$x_{p+1}^{(0)} \succeq F\big(x^{(0)}[\varphi_{p+1}(1:p)], x^{(0)}[\psi_{p+1}(p+1:n)]\big)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x_n^{(0)} \succeq F\big(x^{(0)}[\varphi_n(1:p)], x^{(0)}[\psi_n(p+1:n)]\big)$$

(iv) there exist $\alpha_i \in [0,1)$ $(1 \le i \le n)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i < 1$ such that

$$d(F(\mathrm{U}), F(\mathrm{V})) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i d(x_i, y_i)$$

for all $\mathrm{U}= (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, $\mathrm{V}= (y_1, y_2, ..., y_n) \in X^n$ with

$$x_1 \preceq y_1, ..., x_p \preceq y_p,$$

$$x_{p+1} \succeq y_{p+1}, ..., x_n \succeq y_n.$$

Then there exist $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$ such that

$$F\big(x[\varphi_i(1:p)], x[\psi_i(p+1:n)]\big) = x_i \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

Corollary 47 (Aydi and Berzig [69]). Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered complete metric space, $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings and p a natural number such that $1 \le p < n$. Let $\varphi_1, ..., \varphi_p : \{1, ..., p\} \to \{1, ..., p\}$, $\psi_1, ..., \psi_p : \{p+1, ..., n\} \to \{p+1, ..., n\}$, $\varphi_{p+1}, ..., \varphi_n : \{1, ..., p\} \to \{p+1, ..., n\}$ and $\psi_{p+1}, ..., \psi_n : \{p+1, ..., n\} \to \{1, ..., p\}$ be $2n$ mappings. Also denote $x[\varphi(i : i+j)] := (x_{\varphi(i)}, x_{\varphi(i+1)}, ..., x_{\varphi(i+j)})$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) $F(X^n) \subseteq g(X)$,
(ii) F has p-mixed g-monotone property,
(iii) F and g are commuting,
(iv) g is continuous,
(v) either F is continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has g-*MCB* property,
(vi) there exists $\mathrm{U}^{(0)} = (x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)}) \in X^n$ such that

$$g(x_1^{(0)}) \preceq F\big(x^{(0)}[\varphi_1(1:p)], x^{(0)}[\psi_1(p+1:n)]\big)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$g(x_p^{(0)}) \preceq F\big(x^{(0)}[\varphi_p(1:p)], x^{(0)}[\psi_p(p+1:n)]\big)$$

$$g(x_{p+1}^{(0)}) \succeq F\big(x^{(0)}[\varphi_{p+1}(1:p)], x^{(0)}[\psi_{p+1}(p+1:n)]\big)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$g(x_n^{(0)}) \succeq F\big(x^{(0)}[\varphi_n(1:p)], x^{(0)}[\psi_n(p+1:n)]\big)$$

(vii) there exists $\varphi \in \Phi$ provided φ is increasing such that

$$d(F(\mathrm{U}), F(\mathrm{V})) \leq \varphi(\max_{i\in I_n} d(gx_i, gy_i))$$

for all U= $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, V= $(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n) \in X^n$ with

$$g(x_1) \preceq g(y_1), ..., g(x_p) \preceq g(y_p),$$

$$g(x_{p+1}) \succeq g(y_{p+1}), ..., g(x_n) \succeq g(y_n).$$

Then there exist $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in X$ such that

$$F\big(x[\varphi_i(1:p)], x[\psi_i(p+1:n)]\big) = g(x_i) \text{ for each } i \in I_n.$$

8.6. **Roldán-Martinez-Moreno-Roldán multidimensional Coincidence Theorems.**
On setting $*(i,k) = i_k = \sigma_i(k)$ (where σ_1,σ_2,...,σ_n are arbitrary) in Corollary 7, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 48 (Roldán *et al.* [26]). Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered complete metric space and $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings. Let $\Upsilon = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_n)$ be a n-tuple of mappings from I_n into itself verifying $\sigma_i \in \Omega_{A,B}$ if $i \in A$ and $\sigma_i \in \Omega'_{A,B}$ if $i \in B$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

(i) $F(X^n) \subseteq g(X)$,
(ii) F has ι_n-mixed g-monotone property,
(iii) F and g are commuting,
(iv) g is continuous,
(v) either F is continuous or $(X, d, \preceq)$ has g-MCB property,
(vi) there exist $x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$\begin{cases} g(x_i^{(0)}) \preceq F(x^{(0)}_{\sigma_i(1)}, x^{(0)}_{\sigma_i(2)}, ..., x^{(0)}_{\sigma_i(n)}) \text{ for each } i \in A \\ g(x_i^{(0)}) \succeq F(x^{(0)}_{\sigma_i(1)}, x^{(0)}_{\sigma_i(2)}, ..., x^{(0)}_{\sigma_i(n)}) \text{ for each } i \in B \end{cases}$$

(vii) there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(F(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), F(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)) \leq \alpha \max_{i\in I_n} d(gx_i, gy_i)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B$.

Then F and g have, at least, one Υ-coincidence point.

On setting $*(i,k) = i_k = \sigma_i(k)$ (where σ_1,σ_2,...,σ_n are arbitrary) in Corollaries 1,2,3,9, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 49 (Al-Mezel *et. al* [32]). Let $(X, d, \preceq)$ be an ordered metric space and $F : X^n \to X$ and $g : X \to X$ two mappings. Let $\Upsilon = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_n)$ be an n-tuple of mappings from I_n into itself verifying $\sigma_i \in \Omega_{A,B}$ if $i \in A$ and $\sigma_i \in \Omega'_{A,B}$ if $i \in B$. Suppose that the following properties are fulfilled:

(i) $F(X^n) \subseteq g(X)$,

(ii) F has ι_n-mixed g-monotone property,

(iii) there exist $x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, ..., x_n^{(0)} \in X$ such that

$$\begin{cases} g(x_i^{(0)}) \preceq F(x_{\sigma_i(1)}^{(0)}, x_{\sigma_i(2)}^{(0)}, ..., x_{\sigma_i(n)}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in A \\ g(x_i^{(0)}) \succeq F(x_{\sigma_i(1)}^{(0)}, x_{\sigma_i(2)}^{(0)}, ..., x_{\sigma_i(n)}^{(0)}) \text{ for each } i \in B \end{cases}$$

(iv) there exists $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d\big(F(x_{\sigma_i(1)}, x_{\sigma_i(2)}, ..., x_{\sigma_i(n)}), F(y_{\sigma_i(1)}, y_{\sigma_i(2)}, ..., y_{\sigma_i(n)})\big) \leq \varphi\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(gx_i, gy_i)\Big)$$

for all $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, y_1, y_2, ..., y_n \in X$ with $g(x_i) \preceq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in A$ and $g(x_i) \succeq g(y_i)$ for each $i \in B$.

Also assume that at least one of the following conditions holds:

(a) (X, d) is complete, F and g are continuous and F and g are (O, Υ)-compatible,

(b) (X, d) is complete and F and g are continuous and commuting,

(c) (gX, d) is complete and $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property,

(d) (X, d) is complete, $g(X)$ is closed and $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property,

(e) (X, d) is complete, g is continuous and increasing, F and g are (O, Υ)-compatible and $(X, d, \preceq)$ has *MCB* property.

Then F and g have, at least, one Υ-coincidence point.

References

[1] S. B. Presic, *Sur la convergence des suites. (French),* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 260 (1965) 3828-73830.

[2] S. B. Presic, *Sur une classe d'inéquations aux diffrences finies et sur la convergence de certaines suites. (French),* Publ. Inst. Math. Beograd (N.S.) 5 (19) (1965) 75-78.

[3] V. I. Opoitsev, *Heterogenic and combined-concave operators,* Syber. Math. J. 16 (1975) 781-792 (in Russian).

[4] V. I. Opoitsev, *Dynamics of collective behavior. III. Heterogenic system,* Avtomat. i Telemekh. 36 (1975) 124-138 (in Russian).

[5] V. I. Opoitsev and T. A. Khurodze, *Nonlinear operators in space with a cone,* Tbilis. Gos. Univ. Tbilisi (1984) 271 (in Russian).

[6] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Coupled fixed points of nonlinear operators with applications,* Nonlinear Anal. 11 (5) (1987) 623-632.

[7] Y. H. Ma, *Coupled fixed point theorems of mixed monotone operators and their applications,* Nanjing Daxue Xuebao Shuxue Bannian Kan 6 (2) (1989) 14-22 (in Chinese).

[8] Y. Z. Chen, *Existence theorems of coupled fixed points,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 154 (1) (1991) 142-150.

[9] S. S. Chang and Y. H. Ma, *Coupled fixed points for mixed monotone condensing operators and an existence theorem of the solutions for a class of functional equations arising in dynamic programming,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 160 (2) (1991) 468-479.

[10] G. C. Yang and X. G. Du, *Coupled fixed points of mixed monotone operators and their applications,* Sichuan Shifan Daxue Xuebao Ziran Kexue Ban 14 (5) (1991) 20-26 (in Chinese).

[11] L. Kunquani, *Existence and uniqueness theorems of coupled fixed points and applications (English summary),* Nonlinear Times Digest 1 (2) (1994) 221-234.

[12] S. S. Chang, Y. J. Cho and N. J. Huang, *Coupled fixed point theorems with applications,* J. Korean Math. Soc. 33 (3) (1996) 575-585.

[13] F. Q. Chen, *Coupled fixed points for a class of nonlinear operators,* Shandong Daxue Xuebao Ziran Kexue Ban 32 (1) (1997) 24-30 (in Chinese).

[14] I. Beg, A. Latif, R. Ali and A. Azam, *Coupled fixed points of mixed monotone operators on probabilistic Banach spaces,* (English summary) Arch. Math. (Brno) 37 (1) (2001) 1-8.

[15] H. G. Duan and G. Z. Li, *Coupled fixed point theorems for a class of mixed monotone operators and their applications,* Acta Anal. Funct. Appl. 8 (4) (2006) 335-340 (in Chinese).

[16] T. Gnana Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, *Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications,* Nonlinear Anal. 65 (7) (2006) 1379-1393.

[17] X. Zhang, *Fixed point theorems of monotone mappings and coupled fixed point theorems of mixed monotone mappings in ordered metric spaces,* Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.) 44 (4) (2001) 641-646.

[18] V. Lakshmikantham and L. Ćirić, *Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces,* Nonlinear Anal. 70 (2009) 4341-4349.

[19] V. Berinde and M. Borcut, *Tripled fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces,* Nonlinear Anal. 74 (15) (2011) 4889-4897.

[20] E. Karapinar and N. V. Luong, *Quadrupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions,* Comp. Math. Appl. 64 (2012) 1839-1848.

[21] M. Imdad, A. H. Soliman, B. S. Choudhury and P. Das, *On n-tupled coincidence point results in metric spaces,* J. Oper. 2013:532867 (2013) 8 pp.

[22] M. E. Gordji and M. Ramezani, *N-fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces* (preprint).

[23] M. Ertürk and V. Karakaya, *n-tuplet fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces,* J. Inequal. Appl. 2013:196 (2013) 19 pp.

[24] M. Ertürk and V. Karakaya, *Correction: n-tuplet fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces,* J. Inequal. Appl. 2013:368 (2013) 2 pp.

[25] M. Berzig and B. Samet, *An extension of coupled fixed point's concept in higher dimension and applications,* Comput. Math. Appl. 63 (8) (2012) 1319-1334.

[26] A. Roldán, J. Martinez-Moreno and C. Roldán, *Multidimensional fixed point theorems in partially ordered complete metric spaces,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 396 (2) (2012) 536-545.

[27] A. Roldán, J. Martinez-Moreno, C. Roldán and E. Karapinar, *Some remarks on multidimensional fixed point theorems,* Fixed Point Theory 15 (2) (2014) 545-558.

[28] M. Paknazar, M. E. Gordji, M. de la Sen and S. M. Vaezpour, *N-fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces,* Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013:111 (2013) 15 pp.

[29] E. Karapinar, A. Roldán, C. Roldán and J. Martinez-Moreno, *A note on 'N-fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces',* Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013:310 (2013) 5 pp.

[30] J. Wu and Y. Liu, *Note for the tripled and quadruple fixed points of the mixed monotone mappings,* Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 50 (3) (2013) 993-1005.

[31] E. Karapinar and A. Roldán, *A note on 'n-tuplet fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces',* J. Inequal. Appl. 2013:567 (2013) 7 pp.

[32] S. A. Al-Mezel, H. H. Alsulami, E. Karapinar and A. F. Roldán-López-de-Hierro, *Discussion on "Multidimensional Coincidence Points" via recent publications,* Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2014:287492 (2014) 13 pp.

[33] G. S. Rad, S. Shukla and H. Rahimi, *Some relations between n-tuple fixed point and fixed point results,* Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Math. (RACSAM) (published online:2014) doi:10.1007/s13398-014-0196-0.

[34] B. Samet and C. Vetro, *Coupled fixed point, F-invariant set and fixed point of N-order,* Ann. Funct. Anal. 1 (2) (2010) 46-56.

[35] S. Dalal, L. A. Khan, I. Masmali and S. Radenović, *Some remarks on multidimensional fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces,* J. Adv. Math. 7 (1) (2014) 1084-1094.

[36] H. Olaoluwa and J. Olaleru, *Multipled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces,* Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014:43 (2014) 15 pp.

[37] H. Lee and S. Kim, *Multivariate coupled fixed point theorems on ordered partial metric spaces,* J. Korean Math. Soc. 51 (6) (2014) 1189-1207.

[38] A. Alam, A. R. Khan and M. Imdad, *Some coincidence theorems for generalized nonlinear contractions in ordered metric spaces with applications,* Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014:216 (2014) 30 pp.

[39] A. Alam, Q. H. Khan and M. Imdad, *Enriching the recent coincidence theorems for nonlinear contractions in ordered metric spaces,* Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2015:141 (2015) 14 pp.

[40] A. Alam, Q. H. Khan and M. Imdad, *Discussion on some coincidence theorems for nonlinear contractions in ordered metric spaces* (communicated).

[41] D. O'Regan and A. Petruşel, *Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in ordered metric spaces,* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 341 (2) (2008) 1241-1252.

[42] N. V. Luong and N. X. Thuan, *Coupled points in ordered generalized metric spaces and application to integro differential equations,* An. Şt. Univ. Ovidius Constanţa 21 (3) (2013) 155-180.

[43] J. J. Nieto and R. Rodríguez-López, *Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations,* Order 22 (3) (2005) 223-239.

[44] L. Ćirić, N. Cakic, M. Rajovic and J. S. Ume, *Monotone generalized nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces,* Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2008:131294 (2008) 11 pp.

[45] D. W. Boyd and J. S. W. Wong, *On nonlinear contractions,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20 (1969) 458-464.

[46] A. Mukherjea, *Contractions and completely continuous mappings,* Nonlinear Anal. 1 (3) (1977) 235-247.

[47] N. Jotic, *Some fixed point theorems in metric spaces,* Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 26 (1995) 947-952.

[48] I. N. Herstein, *Topics in algebra,* Second Edition, Xerox College Publishing, Lexington, Mass.-Toronto, Ont. (1975) 388 pp.

[49] V. Berinde, *Generalized coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces,* Nonlinear Anal. 74 (2011) 7347-7355.

[50] M. Jleli, V. C. Rajic, B. Samet and C. Vetro, *Fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces and applications to nonlinear elastic beam equations ,* J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 12 (2012) 175-192.

[51] B. Samet, E. Karapinar, H. Aydi and V. C. Rajic, *Discussion on some coupled fixed point theorems,* Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013:50 (2013) 12 pp.

[52] J. Wu and Y. Liu, *Fixed point theorems for monotone operators and applications to nonlinear elliptic problems,* Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013:134 (2013) 14 pp.

[53] S. Radenović, *A note on tripled coincidence and tripled common fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces,* App. Math. Comp. 236 (2014) 367-372.

[54] A. Sharma, M. Imdad and A. Alam, *Shorter proofs of some recent even-tupled coincidence theorems for weak contractions in ordered metric spaces,* Math. Sci. 8 (2014) 131-138.

[55] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, *Coupled fixed point results for nonlinear integral equations,* J. Egyptian Math. Soc. 21 (3) (2013) 266-272.

[56] B. S. Choudhury and A. Kundu, *A coupled coincidence point result in partially ordered metric spaces for compatible mappings,* Nonlinear Anal. 73 (2010) 2524-2531.

[57] V. Berinde, *Coupled coincidence point theorems for mixed monotone nonlinear operators,* Comp. Math. Appl. 64 (2012) 1770-1777.

[58] N. Hussain, A. Latif and M. H. Shah, *Coupled and tripled coincidence point results without compatibility,* Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012:77 (2012) 9 pp.

[59] M. Borcut and V. Berinde, *Tripled coincidence theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces,* App. Math. and Comp. 218 (10) (2012) 5929-5936.

[60] M. Borcut, *Tripled coincidence theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces,* App. Math. Comp. 218 (14) (2012) 7339-7346.

[61] B. S. Choudhury, E. Karapinar and A. Kundu, *Tripled coincidence point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces,* Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2012:329298 (2012) 14 pp.

[62] X. L. Liu, *Quadruple fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces with mixed g-monotone property,* Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013:147 (2013) 18 pp.

[63] E. Karapinar and V. Berinde, *Quadrupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces,* Banach J. Math. Anal. 6 (1) (2012) 74-89.

[64] M. Imdad, A. Alam and A. H. Soliman, *Remarks on a recent general even-tupled coincidence theorem,* J. Adv. Math. 9 (1) (2014) 1787-1805.

[65] S. Husain, H. Sahper and A. Alam, *N-tupled coincidence and common fixed point results in ordered metric spaces without completeness and compatibility* (communicated).

[66] S. Dalal, M. A. Khan and S. Chauhan, *n-tupled coincidence point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces for compatible mappings,* Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2014:614019 (2014) 8 pp.

[67] S. Dalal, *Higher dimensional fixed point results in complete ordered metric spaces,* J. Adv. Math. 7 (1) (2014) 1115-1127.

[68] M. Imdad, A. Alam and A. Sharma, *n-coincidence point results for nonlinear contractions in ordered complete metric spaces* (communicated).

[69] H. Aydi and M. Berzig, *Coincidence point theorems in higher dimension for nonlinear contractions,* J. Nonlinear Anal. Optim. 4 (1)(2013) 53-64.